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After the publication in 2012 of the Deployment Plan, the Implementing Geological Disposal of Radioactive Waste - Technology Platform (IGD-TP) has entered into a new phase of implementation of new working groups and technical projects directly linked with Strategic Research Agenda (SRA) priorities. In addition, IGD-TP has set up organisational working groups on cross-cutting activities. All of them are centred on the “joint activities” described in the Deployment Plan (DP) and in its updated version (Master Deployment Plan 2013).

The outcomes of two technical working groups have already led to EC projects: DOPAS (Full Scale Demonstration of Plugs and Seals) dealing with the strategic issue of the plugging and sealing of drifts and cells, and CAST (CArbon-14 Source Term) dealing with the study of the various source terms of C14, their release modes and speciation forms.

Furthermore, IGD-TP Executive Group supervises the activities of working groups on previously launched EC projects and to prepare future calls, projects, or organisational activities.

In addition, the IGD-TP Secretariat, supported by an EC project (SecIGD2: Secretariat IGD-TP 2) has set up working groups to reinforce IGD-TP organisation and deploy its activities towards less advanced programme needs and to support the work of the Competence Maintenance, Education and Training group.

The first Exchange Forums (EFs) were mainly dedicated to explain Waste Management Organisations (WMOs) priorities and information on on-going projects or projects under development.

For this 4th Exchange Forum the plan was to focus on new ideas that could complement our SRA priorities through a bottom-up approach; to involve in a more efficient way new actors of the RD&D and to initiate or further deepen contacts between research organisations, waste producers and Waste Management Organizations.

Thus, the aims of the 4th Exchange Forum were to:

1. Network;
2. Inform on working groups activities and EC projects;
3. Bring forward new projects in a bottom-up approach in the framework of the deployment of activities described in the SRA/DP and beside these;
4. Identify new subject of collaboration related with SNETP (Sustainable Nuclear Energy Technology Platform) future needs;
5. Identify subject of common interest with Technical Support Organisations (TSOs) through SITEX (Sustainable network of Independent Technical EXpertise for radioactive waste Disposal);
6. Develop way forward towards relationships between R&D and stakeholder involvement.

To fulfill these objectives, the Exchange Forum lasted two days. Participants (about 150) took part in plenary sessions as well as five parallel Working Group sessions to discuss priorities and new areas of interest.

In this document you will find all the abstracts of presentations as well as the outcomes of the five working group sessions.

All presentations are available on the IGD-TP website, www.igdtp.eu.
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NEWLANCER: Steps towards a broader participation of the New Member States in EURATOM research

Daniela Diaconu, Institute for Nuclear Research, Romania

Since their accession to EU, the NMS participation in Euratom research remained at 5% from total EC grants both in FP6 and FP7, despite the facilitating measures taken by EC to encourage their contribution in the fission research. Equally concerned by their low level of the involvement in the European research program in nuclear field, NEWLANCER partners performed a quantitative and qualitative analysis of NMS participation in FP6 and FP7 Euratom programmes, identifying the main reasons for this situation at organizational and national level. Organizations from NMS seem to struggle both with the national and EURATOM research programs. It seems that strategic research management and funding of these organisations only marginally affect the success in EURATOM projects. It is possible that the current success depends mainly on the excellence and connections of individuals rather than from systematic approach of the organisations and/or nations. SWOT analyses in each participating country revealed possible strategic directions leading to a wider participation in future programmes and identified research potential that could be further exploited. A multi-level network linking national and regional experts in the Euratom fields, connecting them to OMS research centers with large participation as well as to the European Technological platforms (SNETP, IGD-TP, MELODI) and other related associations or networks (EERA, NUGENIA, Euratom NCP) was built. This complex network favored creation of specialists teams able to plan new projects, ensured access to up-to-date information on nuclear, and facilitated a better understanding of decision making mechanisms and a pro-active dialogue with the responsible players defining research strategies in nuclear field.

Participation in IGD-TP working activities improved the better knowledge of the current NMS needs and priorities of their radioactive disposal programs and facilitated the identification of future supporting actions. As a result of the lessons learnt in the analysis of previous participation and of the networking activities, new FP7 projects were born and are underway (MAXSIMA proposed in Euratom 2012 call, and ARCADIA, EAGLE and ASAMPSA_E concretizing three ideas which became successful proposals in the Euratom 2013 call as result of a pilot exercise.

Scenarios for improving stakeholder involvement within the IGD-TP. Insights from InSOTEC

Meritxell Martell, Merience, Spain

InSOTEC is a three-year collaborative research project (2011-2014), funded under the 7th Euratom Framework Programme, which aims to generate a better understanding of the complex interplay between the technical and the social in radioactive waste management. One of the objectives is to provide the IGD-TP with concrete suggestions on how to address the entangled socio-technical challenges of geological disposal. In order to do this, we have analysed the potential for the involvement of different types of stakeholders in the Platform. In our analysis, we consider the extent to which the IGD-TP’s practice as regards to stakeholder involvement matches its discourse, and what potential for improvement exists given its structural organisation as a European Technology Platform (ETPs). Technology Platforms (TPs) can be understood as knowledge networks, deliberately set up to influence (research) policy in a specific domain. We therefore use knowledge networks as a conceptual approach and look at the IGD-TP as a complex network which includes actors, knowledge and practices across different countries, focusing on a very specific topic (i.e. implementing geological disposal).

Applying Callon’s [1] framework of knowledge co-production (1999) we come to define different degrees of interaction between science, society and policy in view of defining research and development (R&D) priorities. We describe how these interactions could be conceptualised and interpreted for the IGD-TP. The current approach of the IGD-TP can be mainly understood as classical model involving mainly expert stakeholders and scientists. Where there seems to be a good representation among IGD-TP members of industry, research institutes, and some members of the academic community this is not the case for other types of stakeholders, such as public authorities or civil society. At this stage, the
overall approach of the IGD-TP would seem to restrict the scope of stakeholder involvement, as it narrows participation down to uniquely technology experts, hindering socio-technical manifestations. Our analysis nevertheless shows that there is room for engaging with a broader range of stakeholders in the field of radioactive waste management, if this is the intention of the IGD-TP. However, this would require a commitment to developing a common knowledge base including other stakeholders through a process of mutual adjustment and negotiation.

## Output from the working group on RD&D dissemination

R. Kowe, NDA, UK

The working group on RD&D dissemination is part of SecIGD2 Work Package 2 ‘Support for networking, structuring and developing RD&D competences in countries with less advanced geological disposal programmes’.

The first meeting of the working group was held at the Geological Society, Burlington House London, 9th May 2013.

The initial output from the working group is a questionnaire to gain wider input on RD&D information needs from IGD-TP partners. The responses from the questionnaire were evaluated, compiled and will be presented at the 4th Exchange Forum meeting. Future activities of the Working Group will be outlined.

## Safety of Spent Nuclear Fuel Disposal in Crystalline Rock (SAFEROCK)

Gunnar Buckau, JRC

An initiation process has started for elaborating upon a joint research project on remaining key issues for the Crystalline Rock Safety Case. A core group led by WMO’s (SKB, POSIVA and RAWRA) together with key research organizations (especially KIT-INE and JRC-ITU) and organizations focusing on modelling and application to the Safety Case (AMPHOS21 and KEMAKTA) are collecting and structuring topics and associated experimental investigations and modelling applications. The encompassed project “SAFEROCK” combines the near-field, the far-field and the transition between them.

The list of far-field topics is based on the end-user group recommendations of the recently finalized Collaborative Project “Crystalline Rock Retention Processes” (CROCK). Topics on the near-field and the transition to the far-field focus around needs identified in the on-going assessments of the Swedish and Finnish licensing applications. Consequently, scenarios with compromised integrity of the EBS are included in the discussion. Relevant processes and critical data are obtained from combining a series of lab and URL experiments. In-situ-experiments at Åspö and/or Onkalo are used to capture relevant transport properties from the spent fuel to the host-rock. Different experimental material, including real spent fuel, is used in the lab. SIMFUEL and/or designed pellets including 233U doped UO2 are under discussion for the in-situ experiments. The composition, structure and burn-up of spent fuel arising in the coming decades are also considered. Modelling for application of knowledge and data for the Safety Case is built around new data arising from SAFEROCK, but also around assessment of existing not yet fully examined data from, for example CROCK. The experimental and modelling programme, as well as time-schedule, dissemination, training and education efforts will evolve upon prioritization and involvement of additional organizations.

## Proposal for the IGD-TP Knowledge Management Portal

Juhani Palmu, Posiva, Finland and Terho Laakso, Documill

On the long term horizon one challenge in knowledge management within nuclear waste management is how to preserve the basic knowledge of the final disposal activities in next decades and at least the next century while employees and generations will change. The threat is that the final disposal activities will be interrupted if any doubt of absence for the long term safety analysis will arise or the fundamentals of the analysis will not be remembered or understood. The ultimate challenge in knowledge management is to transfer the undocumented tacit information to be utilised for the organisation while personnel will leave organisation or will retire.

Solutions for the final disposal of spent nuclear produced in Finland have been under research since late 1970’s. This work has been co-ordinated by Posiva since 1996 and by its’ preceding organisations until then. The extensive documentation in several formats covers this scientific and technical foundation for the concept of the geological disposal of high radioactive uranium waste to the Finnish bedrock. Due to the historical reasons of general development of documentation procedures during last decades, a major part of this documentation have been archived only in paper form and thus have not been accessible in digital ways.

The first step for the KMS in Posiva has been to organise the contents of the research work and reports to be utilised in digital form and in much more intuitively approached way by using new and more enhanced technologies compared to conventional documentation management systems. Paper reports (ca 2400 pcs) have been scanned, optically character recognised and filed in pdf/A form. All of ca 3400 reports have been
The implementation of the KMS has been launched in production in the visualised web based KMS ontology portal, which is available for personnel in Posiva and also for the trusted external parties including consultants and the local authority.

Based on the development efforts done for the Posiva Final Disposal Knowledge Management Portal, Posiva representative will give a presentation and a demo how this technique could be utilised as form of the Common Knowledge Management Portal of IGD-TP. The demonstration stand will be open during all the Wednesday afternoon with Posiva and Documill representatives.

Exploring the possibilities to establish a new European Entity for social, societal and governance issues - the PLATENSO Project

Kjell Andersson, Karita Research, Sweden

The objective of the recently launched Euratom Framework 7 Project PLATENSO is to provide a proposal towards establishing the legal base for a European Entity on Socio-Economic matters linked to nuclear technology and to develop recommendations for research strategies for social, societal and governance issues. One of the work packages includes establishing a legal base for a European Entity on socio-economic matters in nuclear technology. This new entity will address issues related to:

- Conducting socio-economic studies of interest to both academia and decision makers (e.g. private and public organisations) involved in the implementation of nuclear fission technologies
- Establishing links with similar initiatives both in the EU and abroad, thereby improving the visibility of the socio-economic research community and its complementarity with the scientific-technological community
- Boosting ETI (education, training and information) initiatives addressing knowledgeable non specialists, thereby improving public understanding of nuclear matters (especially in the new EU Member States)
- Providing an effective link between “hard” and “soft” sciences in the nuclear domain, thereby fostering the role of the scientific-technological research community in the governmental decision making processes.

The proposed entity will provide insights into the organizational structure, how an established network in Central and Eastern countries can be expanded to all EU Member States and which could be the working areas of the entity. The organization and strategic research agendas of the three EU platforms related to nuclear energy scenarios (SNETP), geological disposal (IGD-TP) and radiation protection (MELODI) as well as the European Nuclear Education Network (ENEN), the Nuclear Generation II&III Association (NUGENIA) and other relevant networks will be explored to identify legal and financial options as well as practical solutions for the foreseen legal entity.

Establishment of the new European Entity on socio-economic matters linked to nuclear technology has potential to overcome the barriers that still exist for taking them fully into account and to make the awareness of the social and political challenges to come to action.
Working Group 1 - Cement

Rapporteurs: Lawrence Johnson, Nagra & Bernhard Kienzler, KIT

The aim of this Working Group is to determine the potential scope for future joint studies and/or experiments on cement materials interactions with other repository components, based on input from WMOs in relation to their needs. The emphasis is on long-term performance rather than engineering aspects.

Presentations and speakers:

1. Introduction and discussion of commonalities & differences among WMO concepts (L. Johnson)
2. Results and future plan of RWMC’s R&D regarding cement-bentonite interaction (H. Owada)
3. How do we treat cement in performance assessment? (F. Neall)
4. Thermodynamics and modelling (L. Duro)
5. RN retention and redox conditions (M. Altmaier)
6. Cementitious materials: state of the art (X. Bourbon)
7. Status of the proposed CEBAMA project (B. Kienzler)
8. Immobilisation of radionuclides by a cementitious backfill (D. Read)
### Results and future plan of RWMC’s R&D regarding cement-bentonite interaction

Hitoshi Owada, RWMC, Japan

RWMC has been researching in the field of cement alteration and its influence onto bentonite buffer. In the field of cement alteration, (1) alteration model for fly ash mixed cement and blast furnace slag mixed cement had developed and (2) change of diffusion coefficient due to the deterioration of cementitious materials have been developing. As the research regarding cement-bentonite interaction, in-situ measurement of bentonite dissolution rate under alkaline and pressurized condition had been done. Hydrological-Mechanical-Chemical coupling simulation has been tried. Long term immersion test of cement-bentonite coupled samples have been carrying out for 9 years.

In this presentation, outline of RWMC’s R&D in this field and some results of those experiments will be presented. And the future plan regarding cement-bentonite interaction will be explained.

### How do we treat cement in performance assessment?

Fiona Neall, Galson Sciences Ltd, UK

The use of cement-based materials in the EBS tends to be viewed in a black and white manner as regards assessment of repository post-closure performance: favourable if you want high pH conditioning in the near field but unfavourable if that high pH extends its influence where you don't want it, particularly where bentonite is used or in the geosphere. In both cases, the problem is that using cement introduces uncertainty - “how long will the high pH last?” in one case, and “what properties or parameters will be altered?” in the other. This paper will try to identify how we might go about tackling these sorts of uncertainty in a robust and defensible way, using existing knowledge and without merely resorting to unacceptably conservative approaches.

### Radionuclide Retention and Redox Conditions

M. Altmaier, KIT-INE, Germany

Cementitious materials play an important role for several aspects related to the safe disposal of radioactive waste. Specific interactions of radionuclides with cementitious materials and the corresponding aqueous solutions generated can lead to either retention or mobilization of long-lived radionuclides. As the projected risk related to radionuclide mobilization from a repository is dominated by (frequently anionic) fission products in many scenarios, alpha emitting actinides are investigated because of their extremely high radiotoxicity and the need to ensure their quantitative retention.

Chemical mechanism controlling radionuclide (geo)chemistry and retention in cementitious environments include solubility and dissolution phenomena, sorption and incorporation processes, and redox reactions involving radionuclides. Several important radionuclides can exist in more than one oxidation state, each exhibiting distinct chemical properties and often strongly differing features. Detailed understanding of radionuclide redox chemistry is therefore essential. Considering radionuclide chemistry in cementitious systems, the presence of strongly alkaline solutions and complexation with organic ligands, potentially affecting both solubility and radionuclide speciation, are of particular importance. Sorption processes on cement phases likewise need to be addressed with high priority as they constitute main retention mechanisms for both anionic fission products and actinides. Investigations should include reliable quantitative thermodynamic model descriptions, allowing for a comprehensive systematization of radionuclide behavior and the use of geochemical modeling tools to assess other related scenarios.

The aim of this contribution is to summarize main topics of interest regarding radionuclide retention processes in cementitious environment in order to develop a respective workpackage focusing on radionuclide retention processes in CEBAMA.
Scientific and technical knowledge requirements on cementitious materials/components for next steps of HLW and ILW repository (Cigéo) development in France

Xavier Bourbon, Andra, France

As well in other countries, cementitious materials have been selected to constitute components of HLW and IL-LLW disposal in Callovo-Oxfordian clay layer in France (i.e. underground facilities structures, IL-LLW disposal packages, walls for swelled clay based seals...). The primary functions of these cementitious components are mechanical (in operating and/or post closure period). Moreover, some of these components could participate to limit radionuclide migration in post closure period, due to the chemical properties of hydrated cements. Physico-chemical boundary conditions -clay host rock (pore water chemistry, in situ stress...) and solicitations from waste packages (thermal loading, degradation products in solution...)- that impose physical and chemical environment which can promote concrete degradation, are taken into account. In that context, specific formulations have been chosen to fulfill the requirements for each component in repository conditions. Thus CEM I and CEM V cement are considered, except for walls of seals for which Low Hydration Heat-Low pH Cement (LHH-Low pH Cement) are chosen to favor the chemical and mechanical durability of the seals.

In that context, since many years, studies were conducted to assess long term physical and chemical evolution of cementitious materials (including radionuclide behaviour) in repository conditions, mainly for CEM I and CEM V cements, and more recently for LHH-Low pH Cement. Chemical and physical behaviour have been assessed from the material scale up to the component one. Huge amount of data have been used by Andra for “Dossier 2005” that establish feasibility of a radioactive waste disposal. According to French 2006 act on sustainable management of radioactive materials and waste, Andra has to give a report for licensing authorization in 2015 prior to industrial opening around 2025.

Licensing and industrial applications are leading to precise and to validate specific questioning on cementitious components, in particular for optimization (for example possibility to design co-disposal of some IL-LLW) and operating safety. Nethertheless, post closure safety is also under consideration, taking into account industrial reality of disposal, for example to consolidate demonstration and quantify marges. According to scientific and technical current knowledge, Andra has defined priorities then R&D objectives to achieve answers for next step of repository industrial development:

- Influence of chemical evolution on hydro-mechanical properties of blended cements in massive components and interfaces with clays (microstructure evolution, cracks, swelling,...);
- Impact of organic complexing agents on physical and chemical behaviour of concrete;
- Radionuclide chemical behaviour vs complexing agents in alkaline media.

Status of the Proposed Cebama Project

B. Kienzler and M. Altmaier, KIT-INE, Germany

The Cebama Proposal was presented during the 3rd IGD-TP Exchange Forum aiming on a TSWG on Cement based materials, properties, evolution, barrier function (Cebama) within IGD-TP. Up to now, discussions took place on different levels. In May 2013 in connection with the Cement Workshop in Ghent, the ideas of Cebama and the views of the WMOs were presented to a broader interested community. The outcome of these discussions and the potential outline of a Euratom project will be reported.

Immobilisation of Radionuclides by a Cementitious Backfill

David Read, Loughborough University, UK

The present concept for the disposal of ILW and LLW in the UK, as in other countries, is based on a multi-barrier geological disposal facility. After closure, the vault will be backfilled with a specially formulated cement; one example of which is NRVB (Nirex Reference Vault Backfill), developed by United Kingdom Nirex Limited. The backfill cement is designed to play an important role within the multi-barrier system. It is intended to serve both as a physical barrier to radionuclide migration and contribute to the chemical containment of the radioactive waste by buffering the pH of the pore water to high alkalinity while providing a surface for the retention of radionuclides. Consequently, it is crucial to understand the interaction mechanisms of key radionuclides with the cementitious backfill and to build confidence in the models used to predict the retardation of key radionuclides over extended timescales. This paper summarises progress in demonstrating the feasibility of chemical containment in a series of long-term diffusion and shorter-term advection experiments. Results highlight the efficacy of the approach and also its limitations, particularly where radionuclides are co-disposed together with organic ligands derived from cellulose degradation or engineered additives (e.g. superplasticisers).
Outcome of the Working Group 1 Cement

4th Exchange Forum, Prague
29 – 30 October 2013

Rapporteurs:
Lawrence Johnson
Bernhard Kienzler

Background

- At the IGD-TP Exchange Forum in Nov. 2012, a presentation was made regarding interest in a TSWG on cement (CEBAMA).
- The Executive Group of the IGD-TP in Feb. 2013 requested that the WMOs be surveyed to determine their needs regarding studies on cement materials interactions in support of long-term safety.
- Responses were obtained from ANDRA, NDA, SKB, POSIVA, SURAO, NAGRA, ONDRAF/NIRAS
- A further discussion of CEBAMA took place at the Ghent Cement-Waste Workshop. There was broad interest from specialists in initiating a project. From the WMO’s perspective, there was no consensus on how to move forward.
- WMO representatives had a further discussion on 11 Sept. 2013 on the question of areas of common interest.

Presentations during Working Group Cement

- Introduction and discussion of commonalities & differences among WMO concepts (L. Johnson, Nagra)
- Results and future plan of RWMC’s R&D regarding cement-bentonite interaction (H. Owada, RWMC)
  - Interface cement-bentonite interaction, including in-situ measurement
- How do we treat cement in performance assessment? (F. Neall, Galson Sci.)
  - Emphasis on transport properties of degraded cement
- Thermodynamics and modelling (L. Duro, Amphi21)
  - View data available, kinetics, behaviour of system, volume of phases
- RN retention and redox conditions (M. Altmaier, KIT)

- Cementitious materials: state of the art (X. Bourbon, Andra)
  - Consideration of “industrial reality” with respect to
    - Influence of chemical evolution on hydromechanical properties
    - emphasis on low pH / low hydration heat cements
  - chemical evolutions and physical properties
  - clay chemistry „behind the interface“, cement/clay bonding (seals)
- Immobilisation of Radionuclides by a Cementitious Backfill (D. Read, Uni Loughborough)
  - Feasibility of chemical containment by long-term diffusion & advection experiments. Interaction of RN with organic ligands (cellulose degradation or superplasticisers).
- Status of the proposed CEBAMA project (B. Kienzler, KIT)
**Discussion Basis for Cebama**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WP No</th>
<th>WP title</th>
<th>Lead Org.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WP 1</td>
<td>Transport properties</td>
<td>PSI (?)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WP 2</td>
<td>Organics - cement interaction</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WP 3</td>
<td>Radionuclide retention</td>
<td>KIT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WP 4</td>
<td>Steel corrosion</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WP 5</td>
<td>Thermodynamics and modeling</td>
<td>Amphos21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WP 6</td>
<td>Knowledge, reporting and training</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WP 7</td>
<td>Project management</td>
<td>KIT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Duration: 3 yrs. of experimental time

**New Structure of Cebama**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WP No</th>
<th>WP title</th>
<th>Lead Org.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WP 1</td>
<td>Interactions influencing transport properties</td>
<td>PSI (?)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WP 2</td>
<td>Radionuclide retention</td>
<td>KIT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WP 3</td>
<td>Thermodynamics and modeling</td>
<td>Amphos21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WP 4</td>
<td>Knowledge, reporting and training</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WP 4</td>
<td>Project management</td>
<td>KIT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

New TSWG: Review of Organics - radionuclides - cement interaction
- Provide State of the Art Report within the project
- Provide Report on Implication of Steel Corrosion on cement and radionuclide behaviour

**Why topics were removed**

- Strengthen the project by focus on certain issues.
- Sharp focus allows more concentrated work by multiple groups, thus strengthening integration within the project
- Cement-organics-radionuclide interactions is a major topic in itself.
- Steel corrosion in cement widely studied by WMOs
  - Input will be provided for the project based on published information. (CAST project; WMO publication in preparation)

**Possible structure of the project**
Next Steps

- Circulate the WMO’s responses to the questionnaire on cement issues to Bernhard.Kienzler@kit.edu
- WMOs formulate questions to be answered in the project
- Potential partners review the information and provide input where to contribute.
- Planning meeting in March 2014 at KIT defining priorities (Representatives from WMOs and R&D Orgs.)
- TSWG meeting on Organics - radionuclides - cement interaction (Representatives from WMOs and R&D Orgs.) (spring 2014)

Summary of the Cement WG

- Discussions within TSWG and with WMOs on cement issues over the last year resulted in a mature project basis
- Cement working group agreed upon the basis for a potential project covering
  - Interactions influencing transport properties
  - Radionuclide retention
  - Thermodynamics and modeling
- WMOs will formulate questions to be answered in the project
- Potential partners review the information and provide input where to contribute.
- Planning meeting in March 2014 at KIT defining priorities (Representatives from WMOs and R&D Orgs.)
- TSWG meeting on Organics - radionuclides - cement interaction (Representatives from WMOs and R&D Orgs.) (spring 2014)
WG2 – Monitoring

Rapporteurs: José Luis Fuentes-Cantilana, Aitemin and Stéphane Buschaert, Andra

After the MoDeRn (Monitoring Developments for Safe Repository Operation and Staged Closure) project, further developments of a monitoring collaborative project could be achieved by sharing partner developments of in situ technologies to match specific repository requirements (durability, metrology, hardening, etc.). R&D on technologies needs a qualification process that entails testing and qualifying the complete measurement chain based on several stages. These stages will take into account environmental conditions such as radiation rates etc. Discussions and presentations will be around 4 themes based on the following work areas:

1. Strategy aspects
2. Technology development
3. Practical implementation
4. Communication & stakeholder involvement

Presentations and speakers:

1. Implementing monitoring into Geological Disposal: The Belgian case
   (Jan Verstricht SCK-CEN)
2. Monitoring in Waste Disposal - Dutch perspective and possible contributions to ‘MoDeRn 2’
   (Thomas Schroeder, NRG)
3. Return experience with the use of innovative monitoring techniques to measure deformation, cracking and corrosion in the Belgian Supercontainer
   (Lou Areias, EURIDICE)
4. State-of-the-art and typology for the wireless transmission system in real use. The estimation and judgement for the triggered value in EBS against the reference design value
   (Kei Suzuki, RWMC)
5. Short range data transmission for repository monitoring: Technology status and required R&D
   (José-Luis Garcia-Siñeriz, AITEMIN)
6. Determination of trigger values for monitoring results related to disposal and closure concepts
   (Michael Jobmann, DBE Tech)
7. MoDeRn Project. Lessons learned and further work requirement
   (Matt White, GALSON SCIENCES)
8. Results of the call for ideas exercise on repository monitoring
   (José-Luis Fuentes-Cantillana, AITEMIN)
Implementing monitoring into geological disposal: the Belgian case
Jan Verstricht, EIG EURIDICE, Belgium

The development of a monitoring plan for geological disposal requires both a solid approach based on the safety and feasibility case, as well as a proficiency in the application of the relevant monitoring techniques. The first aspect is currently being developed by NIRAS/ONDRAF and the current status will briefly be presented.

Regarding the technological aspect, the long-term (> 25 y) monitoring experience with the underground HADES lab is invaluable, which will be illustrated with some relevant examples.

Monitoring in Waste Disposal - Dutch perspective and possible contributions to ‘MoDeRn 2’
Thomas Schröder, NRG, Netherlands

The presentation elucidates the Dutch perspective on monitoring, its relation to the retrievability requirement, and the possible views and expectations of stakeholders. In addition, the contribution of NRG in the MoDeRn project is summarized, with the focus on the wireless, long-distance transmission of data from the HADES URL to the earth’s surface. Finally, potential contributions, or themes, to a possible ‘MoDeRn-2’ proposal are inventoried.

Return experience with the use of innovative monitoring techniques to measure deformation, cracking and corrosion in the Belgian Supercontainer
Lou Areias, EURIDICE, Belgium

A test is being performed to obtain insight into the feasibility to construct the Belgian Supercontainer (SC). This test incorporates a number of state-of-the-art and new monitoring techniques, including the use of Digital Image Correlation (DIC) and Acoustic Emission (AE) to monitor concrete cracking, four types of corrosion sensors to measure active corrosion of the carbon steel overpack and different fibre optic sensors to monitor deformation in the three orthogonal directions. This presentation gives an overview of the monitoring techniques used, their main results and outlines areas of future research needed to transfer these technologies to an underground geological repository setting.

State of art and typology for the wireless transmission system in real use
Kei Suzuki, RWMC, Japan

In application of wireless transmission system to buffer blocks in deposotion hole, the required specification for the system and the sensor is not clearly specified considering the geometric restriction, lifetime of the battery, corrosive ground water and geological mechanics and hydraulics. Then the specification for the effective use of wireless transmission system and typology for it should be discussed.

The estimation and judgment for the triggered value in EBS against the reference design value
Kei Suzuki, RWMC, Japan

Among the significant process in EBS to the long term safety, the following process are hardly to understand by the measured value, such as:
- Water uptake of bentonite buffer,
- Homogenisation and self-sealing of buffer,
- Piping and chemical erosion,
- Mass redistribution of bentonite

How to judge whether the above process is in the safety condition? Or should we need to estimate the result with comparing the lobolatry test result?

Short range data transmission for repository monitoring: technology status and required R&D
José Luis García-Siñeriz, AITEMIN, Spain

A new wireless system based on high frequency radio transmission (short range type) and capable of monitoring the physical parameters inside a repository cell independently of the host rock type was designed, developed and tested under realistic conditions thanks to FP7 Euratom’s MoDeRn project. This system represents a step forward for the design of a complete measurement chain for repositories.
WG2 – Monitoring

The proposed presentation will inform about further improvements that will be required (R&D activities) to provide a reliable wireless system capable of transmitting the evolution of the physical parameters inside a repository.

Determination of Trigger Values for monitoring results related to disposal and closure concepts

Michael Jobmann, DBE TECHNOLOGY GmbH, Germany

An outcome of the MoDeRn project was that Trigger Values play an important role in the Reference Framework. In parallel to the development of a monitoring programme and prior to the selection of monitoring systems an analysis of potential future monitoring results should be performed. This analysis could be based on the development of a reference scenario (normal repository evolution) and on one or several alternative scenarios (deviating repository evolution). The aim is to identify how “bad” monitoring results must be to indicate a risk for the safety of a repository. This would allow (i) getting a feeling of how monitoring results should be evaluated with respect to deviation from predicted results, (ii) the development of trigger values, the identification of necessary technological systems able to identify and characterize all different evolutions, and to propose actions to respond to those results exceeding trigger values.

MoDeRn Project: lessons Learned and Further Work Requirements

Matt White, Galson Sciences Ltd, UK

MoDeRn developed and documented the collective understanding of repository monitoring approaches, technologies and stakeholder views to provide a reference point to support the development of specific national repository monitoring programmes. MoDeRn advanced the ability to monitor repositories, for example by elaborating the process for developing a monitoring programme and linking this development to the safety case, by developing specific monitoring technologies, by undertaking case studies associated with specific programmes, and by improving the understanding of stakeholder involvement in monitoring. The MoDeRn Synthesis will be published in October 2013, and this presentation will provide a summary of the key lessons presented in the synthesis document and propose ideas for ongoing collaborative research to support the implementation of repository monitoring programmes.

Results on the “Call for Ideas” exercise on repository monitoring

José-Luis Fuentes-Cantillana, AITEMIN, Spain

A “Call for Ideas” was launched in June 2013 from the IGD-TP in order to identify the areas related to repository monitoring in which further research and development is required in the short term. This exercise was coincident in time with the termination of the MoDeRn project, in which a general framework that addresses all relevant aspects of repository monitoring, including the objectives, strategy, available technologies, practical implementation, and the relationship with stakeholders, has been developed.

A document that collects and integrates the different inputs received in this exercise has been prepared, that identifies topics in which the participants consider that further research and demonstration activities are required, in the different areas mentioned above. This is considered to be a basis for the definition of the scope of a potential future collaborative project on repository monitoring, which should be proposed by the IGD-TP to the European Commission.

The presentation will comment the process of the Call for Ideas and will describe the results obtained.
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Prague, 29-30 October 2013

SRA 2011 - Key Topic 6 “Monitoring”

High importance and urgency topics
1. Monitoring strategies and programmes for Performance Confirmation (including development of tools for decision making on monitored data)
2. Monitoring technologies and techniques (operational phase)
3. Guidelines for monitoring of environmental reference state

Medium importance and urgency topics
4. Monitoring of EBS during operations
5. Post-closure monitoring

Master Deployment Plan 2013

Objective: Performance Confirmation
Focused to operational phase

Scope of a potential targeted R&D and demonstration project on monitoring:
- Sensing technologies
- Data transmission systems
- Long term power supply systems
- Durability of electronics, fibre optics and associated materials
- Influence of radiation

Starting point: “Call for ideas” exercise

- Carried out among MoDeRn partners
- Inputs from 7 parties received
- Completed on June 2013

OBJECTIVES:
- Identify specific areas related to repository monitoring in which further research is required, and have strong influence on:
  - Strategies
  - Repository design
  - Repository implementation plans
  - Communication strategies (present and future)
- Serve as starting point for the definition of the objectives and scope of a potential future collaborative project on repository monitoring
Areas to be considered

A. Strategy aspects
B. Technology development
C. Practical implementation
D. Communication & stakeholder involvement

A. Strategy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Priority</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Identification of monitoring requirements and critical parameters in relation with the safety case</td>
<td>H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Identification of triggered values and uncertainties. Development of response plans</td>
<td>H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Definition of the monitoring approaches for the different phases of the repository. Role of Pilots and URLs.</td>
<td>H</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B. Technology development

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic Priority</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 New sensors and sensing technologies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Wireless communication systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Long term power supply methods</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Assessment of durability and reliability of components and systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Robotic inspection systems for non-backfilled areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Data management methods (interpolation, fusion, storage..)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C. Practical implementation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Priority</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Development of specific monitoring concepts</td>
<td>H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Use of data from demonstrators and existing data sets for performance assessment modeling. Feedback to the safety case analysis and to repository design</td>
<td>H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Demonstration of integrated monitoring systems</td>
<td>H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Integration of monitoring in repository design</td>
<td>m</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
D. Communication & SH involvement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Priority</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Structured stakeholders engagement processes</td>
<td>H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Practical guidance on transparent information exchange</td>
<td>H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Calibrating expert and stakeholder expectations</td>
<td>H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 New tools for communication of monitoring results</td>
<td>m</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE: to be integrated in all areas

Next steps

- Proposal on project scope and objectives to EC
- Building up a consortium of interested parties (Jan- Feb 2014)
- Parties proposing specific activities
- Identifying roles, WPs, and tasks
- Budgeting (medium size project ?)
- Proposal completed by June 2014
- Proposal submission in September 2014
- Project to start in April 2015

Details on each area considered

A. Strategy aspects

1. Establishing links and inter-comparison between monitoring plans, the rationale for these plans, and the safety cases, in order to identify monitoring requirements, including the analysis of test cases to identify critical and non-critical parameters

2. Definition of procedures for the analysis of data and management of potential deviations from the expected system evolution, including the consideration of response plans, and the analysis of implications on the safety case and on the repository design and construction (e.g. Identification of triggered values and uncertainties)

3. Definition of monitoring approaches: analysis of the staged implementation of monitoring activities during the different repository phases, and the potential role of Underground Rock Laboratory (URL) tests and pilot facilities, to define the monitoring approaches during the whole lifecycle. Feedback to repository design
B. Technology Development (1)

1. Development and demonstration of sensors and sensing techniques, including geophysics, with particular emphasis on methodologies and systems that do not affect passive safety, and on those which can monitor parameters of key significance to the safety case (e.g. chemical parameters & Fiber optics sensors).

2. Further development and demonstration of wireless communication systems for short, medium, and long distance links through solid materials, including non-electric systems, to improve its range of applications and to optimise energy requirements.

3. Research and demonstration on smart power supply systems for buried sensors and data transmission equipment, for very long operational periods.

4. Development and demonstration of mobile and robotic inspection systems for non-backfilled areas (visual and non-destructive methods).

B. Technology Development (2)

5. Assessment of the long-term behaviour and the durability of the different types of materials and components used in monitoring systems (sensing devices, electronics, cables, casings, etc), taking into account the expected operating conditions (e.g., temperature, chemical environment and radiation field).

6. Development of quality assurance methodologies that enable the quantitative assessment of the long term performance of monitoring systems and components. 
   These two previous points have been merged

7. Development of methodologies for the management and interpretation of data provided by the monitoring systems, taking into account sensor drift and reliability, and including topics such as redundancy, data filtering, data correlation and extrapolation, and data fusion, as well as the database storage and management.

C. Practical implementation

1. Development and design of disposal specific monitoring concepts, based on modelling of natural end engineered systems, and taking into account the spatial heterogeneity, the density and location of measurements, and trigger values for critical parameters and locations.

2. Integration of monitoring requirements with repository concepts, in order to include them in the technical design of repository facilities for the different geological environments (crystalline rock, clay, rock salt).

3. Demonstration of integrated monitoring systems including coupling of new and advanced technologies with well-known technologies in realistic conditions, to solve specific technical issues.

4. Analysis of monitoring data from demonstrators and existing data sets to examine the implications of monitoring results to the safety case, including the potential feedback to performance assessment modelling.

D. Communication & Stakeholder involvement

Research into stakeholder expectations of roles and relationships in relation with monitoring, and development of strategies for the involvement of stakeholders and independent organizations in the different phases of monitoring.

Research into communication processes and development of strategies and methodologies for the communication of monitoring results in an effective and acceptable way in order to contribute to confidence building.

The proposed work would contribute to the development and evaluation of:

- Structured stakeholder engagement processes
- Practical guidance on transparent information exchanges
- A practical, learning-based approach to calibrating expert and stakeholder expectations of monitoring
- Development of new tools for communications of monitoring results

Note: These activities have to be integrated in all areas (each main previous points).
WG3 - New Waste Type in collaboration with SNETP

Rapporteurs: Dominique Warin SNETP/CEA and Lena Zetterström Evins IGD-TP/SKB

Expected changes in waste forms may have implications for geological disposal and needed R&D. The changes expected in waste forms that will need to be disposed of in geological repositories are of primary concern for WMOs. Indeed, the confirmation that this waste will be compatible with the current engineered barrier systems and host rocks may require intensive and decade long R&D. In line with its vision, the issue for IGD-TP concerns primarily changes expected in the coming two decades (e.g. higher burnups, change of cladding materials, use of fuel form other than UO2, increased separation and recycling, change in the reprocessing end-product, GenIII reactors...). This includes also the primary and secondary waste that will be generated from the R&D facilities dealing with GenIV and other facilities...

Presentations and speakers:

1- Importance of the waste form from a safety assessment perspective:
   The SR-Site experience (L. Zetterström Evins)
2- Results of R&D on future fuel cycle and associated HL waste disposal: the French case (D. Warin)
3- CarboSOLUTIONS: Implementing irradiated-graphite management (G. Laurent W. von Lensa)
4- EDF pilot plant and a project for the graphite treatment (G. Laurent)
5- Advanced wasteforms for future nuclear fuel cycles (N. Hyatt)
6- RED IMPACT (W. von Lensa)
7- Management of current and future radwaste for deep geological repository: French approach and articulation with R&D (F. Plas)
8- Long term behavior of waste forms from Gen IV Reactors towards Geological Disposal (G. De Angelis, A. Dodaro, M. Sepielli)
Importance of the waste form from a safety assessment perspective: The SR-Site experience

Lena Zetterström Evins, SKB, Sweden

The waste form analysed in the SR-Site safety assessment is spent nuclear fuel. In Sweden, the fuel is UO2 used in BWR and PWR reactors, and in the analysis also some MOX fuel is considered. The fuel is to be directly disposed in the canisters which will be emplaced in the repository at ca 500 depth. Each canister will be surrounded by bentonite and crystalline bedrock. For the safety assessment, the vast amount of existing information concerning the repository system needs to be taken into account. Many calculations and models require input data from site investigations as well as from analyses of material properties. The analysis still concerns an idealized concept; further information and data will be required in the stepwise progress towards a repository in operation. The studies concerning the waste form, here the fuel, play a central role by providing data for Instant Release Fraction, Corrosion Release Fraction, Dissolution rate, and Solubilities. At the time when water gets in contact with the waste, radionuclides will be available for release. How and when the radionuclides are released determined by their distribution in the different parts of the fuel. For example, for the fuel, it is important and necessary to provide information regarding how large fraction of certain key radionuclides will be available almost immediately after water contact (IRF). Examples of these radionuclides are I-129 and Cs-137. The time it takes for the full inventory to be released is essential to the assessment, and this includes applying the long-term dissolution rate. In SR-Site, it is pessimistically assumed that the dissolution rate is not changing with time. In the long-term dissolution, radionuclides that are found within the fuel matrix are released at the rate of fuel dissolution. The SR-Site approach is to first evaluate the possibilities for barrier failure, and then calculate the consequences, in terms of dose and risk, for the barrier failure scenarios. In the case of SR-Site, the primary safety function is containment, and if containment if fulfilled, there is no need for consequence analysis. However, in the scenarios where barriers are breached, the consequence is radionuclide release and transport. In the SR-Site analysis the canister failure occurs in scenarios with relatively low retention in the bentonite and the bedrock. This leads to the observation that the fuel dissolution rate has a large impact on the result of the safety assessment. The experience gained from the SR-Site assessment is therefore that, in spite of low probability of failed containment (0.12 canisters in 1 Ma) the dissolution rate of the waste form has a large impact on the final result. This can be used in further reflections on the importance of the stability, in a repository environment, of any other and future waste forms. As a last comment, there is a difference between providing input data for the calculations, and the larger view of what is required for a safety assessment. The data are carefully chosen by using sound scientific method, which has been built up by decades of research. The methodology requires process understanding, as well as a systematic documentation of this process understanding, which emphasizes that any safety assessment concerning geological disposal of nuclear waste requires a research programme devoted to the stability of the waste form.

Results of R&D on future fuel cycle and associated HL waste disposal: the French case

Dominique Warin, CEA, France

Reactors and fuel cycle offering the best safety features while staying economically competitive have to be promoted in the next decades in order to recycle valuable materials, such as plutonium, and to better manage highly radioactive nuclear waste. Long term sustainable nuclear systems would be fast reactors which allow full use of uranium with no enrichment needs, efficient burning of plutonium and potentialities for improving waste management by minor actinide transmutation. Within the framework of the 2006 waste management French Act, CEA did produce R&D results on applicable solutions for still minimizing the quantity and the hazardousness of final nuclear waste using industrial perspectives of partitioning and transmutation of actinides. These studies have been carried out in tight connection with GENIV fast systems development, and with the support of recent European projects devoted to innovative partitioning process development. In this perspective, CEA and waste management Agency (Andra) did assess the impact of high and intermediate level waste as produced by various transmutation options, on the sizing of a geological repository. Andra used repository architectures similar to those employed in the Cigéo project which is under development for current NPPs. Results allow to compare the underground footprint and the excavated volume for each option; the impact of the interim storage duration is also assessed. Solutions are proposed to optimize the footprint of the repository. An analysis of the
advantages and drawbacks of transmutation options is proposed, taking into account the evolution of waste types in the repository, still as a long term (several decades from now) possibility.

---

**CarboSOLUTIONS: Implementing irradiated-graphite management**

Gérard Laurent, Electricité de France and Werner von Lensa, Forschungszentrum Juélih GmbH

Carbon-based materials have been / are used in nuclear reactors as neutron moderators and reflectors, due to their low neutron capture cross sections. More than 250,000 t of irradiated-graphite have been accumulated world-wide awaiting safe and economic solutions for the management of this specific radioactive waste, which contains varying amounts of radiocarbon, tritium, chlorine-36 etc. The European CARBOWASTE project addressed the 'Treatment and Disposal of Irradiated-Graphite and Other Carbonaceous Wastes' as an integrated approach to decommissioning and i-graphite waste management on a broad R&D basis within a consortium of 30 partners representing waste management organizations, utilities, nuclear industries, graphite manufacturers, research centers and universities from 10 European countries plus RSA. Main results are:

- I-graphite waste features significantly depend on the specific manufacture process, ingredients (filler & binder) and residual impurities
- The nuclear reactor operational conditions (neutron dose, atmosphere, temperature etc.) strongly determine the i-graphite waste characteristics
- Radiolytical oxidation of graphite core internals leads to partial releases of activation products during reactor operation
- Neutron activation processes generate significant recoil energies breaking pre-existing chemical bonds resulting in dislocations of the activation products and new chemical speciation
- Most activation products exist in different chemical forms and at different locations
- I-graphite can be partly purified by thermal and chemical treatment processes

Leach tests and preliminary performance analyses show that i-graphite can be safely disposed in a wide range of disposal systems, after appropriate treatment / conditioning.

A ‘Toolbox’ that is underpinned by Multi-Criteria-Decision Analysis (MCDA) methodology allows the assessment of different choices for retrieval, treatment, storage, conditioning and disposal of i-graphite with regards to ecologic, economic and social issues is ready for specific applications.

I-graphite management has become an urgent issue in many countries and a subject of national and industrial programmes. The next step from laboratory scale tests to semi-industrial pilot scale demonstration of innovative treatment and conditioning processes has been announced by industry. Due to the strong interaction of the different steps the whole process must be investigated from the removal of i-graphite from the core via treatment till secondary waste conditioning. This still needs to be supported by R&D to optimize the related processes with regards to decontamination factors, operational safety, environmental issues, adaptation to the specific features of the different i-graphite grades, economics etc.

A provisional survey of the R&D needs in those countries being confronted with i-graphite waste management has shown such a spectrum of common targets and communalities that a broader trans-national collaboration will be of mutual benefit, for all. Some countries operated similar reactor types like UNGG, Magnox, RBMK, MTR, whilst others are facing similar waste forms (e.g. sleeves) and others need to find co-disposal solutions, when likely i-graphite volumes cannot justify specific i-graphite repositories.

Under this background, the CarboSOLUTIONS project has been proposed to confederate the national and industrial activities on i-graphite management around a ‘Programme-related R&D approach’ targeting to near-term national and industrial solutions at the pilot scale. It is addressing the open R&D issues, providing scientific support for the adaptation of the treatment processes to industrial needs, treating different i-graphite grades in the pilot scale, designing a full process line including secondary waste management, testing alternate treatment options, leaching of pre-treated i-graphite as proof-of-concept test, optimizing waste package concepts, improving alternate waste matrices manufacture in relevant scale (e.g. Impermeable Graphite Matrix), establishing reuse options, alternate disposal routes etc.

The CarboSOLUTIONS project is relevant for the management of existing legacy waste and for closing the ‘I-Graphite Cycle’ of Generation IV reactors such as Very/High Temperatures Reactors (V/HTR) and Molten-Salt Reactors.
WG3 - New Waste Type in collaboration with SNETP

Advanced waste forms for future nuclear fuel cycles

Neil Hyatt, University of Sheffield, UK

Sustainability of fissile resource will require both fuel recycle, using advanced separations methods, and enhanced fuel burn up, to support future expansion of civil nuclear energy. The current international strategy for management of the high level waste stream from reprocessing, comprising fission products and minor actinides, is vitrification in as HLW glass. A substantial expansion of the civil nuclear energy programme is therefore expected to require a concomitant expansion of the repository footprint to accommodate the resulting HLW products (which must be well separated due to radiogenic decay heat). It is not clear that such an expansion is sustainable or feasible in resource, social, or political terms. This presentation propose and examine the feasibility of conceptual glass and ceramic wasteform options, integrated with advanced separations flow sheets, with the aim of reducing the radiogenic heat burden on the repository. The fundamental strategy is managed decay storage of short lived heat generating radionuclides, conditioned in a single wasteform or suite of wasteform materials, with subsequent final disposal of waste packages in more efficient disposal configuration (due to the reduced thermal budget). Conceptual wasteform options will be evaluated against the outputs of advanced separations flowsheets and the scientific and technological barriers to realisation identified.

Long term behavior of Waste Forms from Gen IV Reactors towards Geological Disposal

G. De Angelis, A. Dodaro, M. Sepielli, ENEA, Italy

SNETP Gen IV fast reactors prototypes (sodium, lead, gas - cooled) produce downstream reprocessing a spent fuel “new” waste type which will require in the long-term proper geological disposal repositories for fission products and minor actinides storage. Geological disposal requirements can be relaxed by adopting particular processes for spent fuel treatment, in terms of stability, durability, environmental impact, loading and volume reduction of the final waste inventory. This proposal concerns a very promising research activity concerned with the treatment and conditioning of chloride wastes coming from spent fuel treatment by pyro-processes, including the characterization of the final waste forms. Pyrochemistry is a term referred to process reactions at high temperatures. At present it appears as a promising alternative for the separation of uranium from fission products, which is ordinarily carried out in eutectic molten chloride salts, such as LiCl-KCl. This method is expected to be very suitable for advanced nuclear engineering due to its many advantages compared with the hydrometallurgy process currently used for the extraction of lanthanides. They include: radiation stability of molten salts (allowing processing of spent fuels of high radioactivity); absence of neutron moderator such as water; low waste production; non-proliferation requirements. Furthermore, it represents an excellent alternative for treatment of UO2 and MOX fuel, and the only possibility for fuels like nitride and carbide fuel, to which hydrometallurgy cannot be applied. Since many years ENEA is involved in research programmes concerning separation of actinides from other fission products and treatment of related wastes. The latter are chloride salts, for which different materials have been proposed as conditioning matrices. They include sodalite, a mineral phase which contains chlorine, SAP, a formulation recently proposed by Korean Atomic Energy Research Institute (KAERI), and murataite, an isometric-hextetrahedral black mineral containing several metal cations. Sodalite, a naturally occurring mineral containing chlorine, has been investigated as an immobilization matrix for chloride waste. To this end, various synthesis methods have been tried: on one hand, direct synthesis from kaolinite, metakaolinite, nepheline, or from silica and sodium aluminate have been carried out; on the other hand, a synthesis from Zeolite 4A used for preliminary decontamination of the salt by ion-exchange has been performed. The former allows the conditioning of the waste salt as a whole whenever discarding an entire process salt batch becomes necessary. This is the case when an electrorefiner plant has to be decommissioned, or in the event of a severe process upset. The latter is more suitable for routine operations, which require clean-up of the salt and its recycle to the electrorefiner, thus avoiding the production of large quantities of solidified wastes to be disposed of. The present proposal refers to the synthesis from kaolinite, through nepheline, for the treatment of the spent salt as a whole. The matrix termed SAP (SiO2-Al2O3-P2O5), synthesized by a conventional sol-gel process, is able to stabilize the volatile salt wastes owing to the formation of metalaluminosilicates, metalaluminophosphates and metalphosphates.
The addition of a borosilicate glass as a chemical binder and a treatment at around 1100°C gives the final waste form. With this method a higher disposal efficiency and a lower waste volume can be obtained. The eutectic LiCl-KCl, containing chlorides of alkaline, alkaline-earth, and lanthanide metals will be used to simulate the waste salt. The SAP matrix offers different domains suitable to “catch” the chloride metals present in the wastes coming from pyroprocesses. Murataite, on its own, is an isometric-hextetrahedral black mineral containing calcium, fluorine, hydrogen, iron, la, ce, pr, nd, sm, manganese, niobium, oxygen, silicon, sodium, titanium, yttrium, and zinc. It was identified in a titanate ceramic with HLW simulants produced at the Savannah River nuclear plant in USA in 1974. It was also identified (1997-1998) in the Synroc matrix with simulants of HLRW wastes from PO Mayak, a radiochemical facility for production and reprocessing of nuclear fuel in Russian Federation. Five volume percent of this phase accumulated about 40% of the total uranium present in the sample, which led to detailed investigations of chemistry and properties of murataite, in particular, of its chemical durability and radiation resistance. However, structural mechanisms of incorporation of actinides into murataite remained unknown up to date. Several tests and analyses are envisaged in order to characterize the final products: density measurements; thermogravimetric analysis; SEM-EDS; optical microscopy; FTIR; XRD. Leach tests under static conditions will be carried out in demineralized water at both 23° and 90°C, up to 150 days. For the tests, in addition, the most suitable radiological characterization techniques will be investigated in order to verify their applicability to New Waste Types arising from Gen IV reactors fuel cycles. The main scope of this proposal is a comparison among these promising matrices for conditioning of chloride salt wastes, with a particular attention to the incorporation mechanisms and to their durability in the long-term to comply with geological disposal requirements.
The main idea with this WG is to, for the first time, exchange ideas between the different platforms SNTP and IGD TP regarding future developments, both within the coming 20 years as well as further down the next several decades.

Expected changes in waste forms may have implications for geological disposal and needed R&D. The changes expected in waste forms that will need to be disposed of in geological repositories are of primary concern for WMOs.

The question is to what extent the future waste forms can be accommodated, or not, in the current repository concepts.

Rapporteurs: Dominique Warin SNTP / CEA
Lena Zetterström Evins IGDT / SKB
Presentations and messages:

1- “Now” : the current parks : the geological repositories under designs for “existing” spent fuels and waste. The licensing process is, or will very soon be, ongoing.

- Sweden & Finland : the needs of the safety case illustrates the importance of a sound scientific basis and research programme for all fuels (or waste forms)

- France : the Cigéo project of geological disposal is “definitely” designed as a function of the waste industrial inventory

- Note however that there is specific issue concerning the strategy of dealing with graphite disposal in several countries

2 - The irradiated graphite issues : depending of the countries : surface, sub-surface, underground?

- France : project for industrial solution and a pilot plant for graphite treatment
- Europe : CarboSOLUTIONS project for graphite management

- Carbowastes project is finished ; CarboSOLUTIONS is the next phase ; collaboration action is necessary between the two platforms on this issue.

3 - “Long term” :

- France : prospective scenarios with Sodium Fast Reactors and P&T and their impact on a future repository
- UK : the MIDAS Concept, FP5 and MAs separations for specific material waste forms
- Italy : specific waste forms from pyro-processing mainly of Lead Fast Reactor spent fuels
- Europe : RED-IMPACT : Impact of P&T and waste reduction technologies

The future parks >2050 : the geological repositories for “future” spent fuels and wastes (including GEN IV fuel cycle); still, GD design is a long term action to be thought early (“global optimization” of a robust system waste form –GD barrier material for long term duration and safety assessment) ; and the issue of ILW ?

“EC coordinated action or collaborative project, such as PyroMIDAS”?

Summary:

- 1 – Strategy and vision:
  The link between the two platforms is important : to identify the common ground
  The IGD-TP should consider two different time scales (< 2025, >2025)

- 2 – The graphite issue:
  Research collaboration efforts are needed; some urgency is indicated

- 3 – Suggested collaborative projects:
  CarboSOLUTIONS
  Optimisation of waste forms from Gen IV : materials (ex : MIDAS and “pyrowastes”=...
WG4 - RD&D TSO's needs: the view of SITEX

Rapporteurs: Václava Havlová SITEX/UJV and Jon Martin, NDA

The aim of this Working Group (WG) is to present the TSO’s RD&D needs and discuss some of these priorities which may meet WMOs needs as expressed in the SRA and DP. This WG offers a forum that could foster, where possible, and considering the deontological issues linked with the respective role and missions of assessors and implementers, the identification of possible joint activities linked with the joint programming strategy to be developed in the EC framework of H2020.

Presentations and speakers:

1- SITEX view on development of TSO’s RD&D programme for providing independent expertise (Václava Havlová)
2- Overview of the IGD-TP Strategic Research Agenda (SRA) (Jon Martin, NDA)
3- Development of an R&D programme by Bel V in the field of safety of radioactive waste disposal (Valéry Detilleux)
4- The experimental research programme at Tournemire – examples of safety challenges from IRSN point of view (Jean Dominique Barnichon)
5- Impact of permafrost on repository safety (Ton Wildenborg)
WG4 - RD&D TSO’s needs: the view of SITEX

SITEX view on development of TSO’s RD&D programme for providing independent expertise

Václava Havlová, UJV Rez, a.s., Czech Republic

The objective of Sustainable network of Independent Technical Expertise for radioactive waste disposal (SITEX) FP7 program is to build up a network capable of harmonizing European approaches to technical expertise in geological repositories for radioactive waste. SITEX brings together 15 organisations representing technical safety organisations (TSOs), research organisations and safety authorities, as well as civil society outreach specialists. SITEX aim is to help establishing the conditions that are required for developing a sustainable network of technical safety experts providing their skills, analytical tools and expertise for independent analyses to built the namely confidence in safety cases, developed by waste management organisations.

Presentation will summarise the upcoming results of SITEX project, describing the vision of RD&D actions which should be undertaken by potential TSO network in order to support independent technical and scientific review of safety cases and key technical issues arising during implementation of deep geological repository.

Overview of the IGD-TP Strategic Research Agenda (SRA)

Jon Martin, NDA, UK

The IGD-TP’s work is driven by European waste management organisations that share a common vision that “by 2025, the first geological disposal facilities for spent fuel, high-level waste, and other long-lived radioactive waste will be operating safely in Europe” (Vision 2025).

This presentation gives an overview of the IGD-TP’s Strategic Research Agenda (SRA), which is dedicated to identifying the main RD&D issues that need a coordinated effort over the next years in order to reach the Vision 2025. Key Topics and their priorities have been identified through discussions among many European waste management organisations. Of particular interest are the issues for which enhanced co-operation within the IGD-TP are considered desirable and practically achievable. In developing the SRA, attention has been focused on increasing cooperation in areas of repository safety and technological development through combined use of resources, which represent the major objectives of the EC framework programme.

The SRA will also be the instrument for creating synergies, co-operation and co-ordination, both internally between the IGD-TP participants and with external activities that take place in other technological platforms such as the SNE-TP, SITEX etc and within other international forums.

The SRA is complemented by the Deployment Plan introducing various adapted cooperation tools to implement its actions. They will rely in particular on the Euratom Framework Programmes, which will echo the SRA’s priorities.

Development of an R&D programme by Bel V in the field of safety of radioactive waste disposal

Valéry Detilleux, Bel V, Belgium

Bel V, constituting with FANC the Belgian Regulatory Body, has an important effort in R&D devoted to radioactive waste management. The R&D activities of Bel V are primarily related to the development and the maintenance of expertise in nuclear safety and to a lesser extent in radiation protection. The overall R&D effort foreseen by Bel V has been recently significantly increased to about 10% of the total available time for the technical staff. Within the framework of radioactive waste disposal safety, Bel V previously participated in international projects like 6th FP EC MICADO and 6th FP PAMINA, participates in international working groups (like IAEA PRISM and more recently IAEA GEOSAF II), and develops scientific collaborations with universities and other TSOs (e.g. IRSN).

This presentation will give an overview of the methodology followed by Bel V to develop its R&D programme in the field of disposal of radioactive waste and will give examples of R&D projects and collaborations in which Bel V participates.
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The experimental research program at Tournemire – Link with safety challenges
Jean-Dominique Barnichon, IRSN, France

The presentation will give an overview of past, present and foreseen studies carried out by IRSN at the Tournemire Underground Research Laboratory. Each presented item will be put in context of its related safety challenges from the TSO point of view.

Impact of Permafrost on Repository Safety
Ton Wildenborg, TNO, Netherlands

The idea focuses on studying the effects of permafrost on the long term safety for radioactive waste disposal, and its significance for the safety case. The scope of the initiative includes the effects of deep permafrost, salination, melt-water intrusion and cyclic freeze-thaw effects. Relevant boundary conditions, like glacial meltwater influx, and erosion depth will be accounted for.

It is the view of the initiators of this idea that the treatment of permafrost within the safety case is a significant remaining issue, which was confirmed in discussions of the Euradwaste '08 Conference. In particular, by realizing this initiative it is thought that demonstrable improvements to the robustness of the repository safety case and related safety analyses will be achieved, through the application of newly-acquired scientific knowledge into how the performance of natural and man-made barriers of a repository respond to permafrost conditions.

Project idea on “Impact of permafrost on repository safety”

In contrast to glacial effects, the consequences of permafrost and its related processes for the safety case of geological waste disposal are far less known. In particular in northern countries like Germany, England, Poland, the Baltic States, Sweden and Finland permafrost may reach thicknesses of 300 to 500 metres during cyclic climate cooling phases in the next million years. The possible direct effects (ice formation and deformation) and indirect effects (cooling, fluid and mineral changes) on the safety functions of the host rock and EBS need further consideration.

As this project idea might be of interest to quite a number of WMOs in central and northern European countries, I propose to the IGD-TP EG to consider inclusion of “Impact of permafrost on repository safety” in a future update of the Strategic Research Agenda and identify an appropriate type of Joint Activity for this project idea.
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Potential Areas of Interaction Between SITEX and IGD-TP

Communication with civil society
- Early interaction with civil society is an increasingly important challenge; consideration should be given of the various media for engagement.
- SITEX is willing to undertake an initiative towards interaction with civil society.
- Knowledge transfer to the civil society (explaining the requirements, topics, goals) - in order to enable discussion at an appropriate scientific level.
- Also need to understand the needs of civil society.

Collaborative Research & Exchange

The group was in agreement that there are certainly areas where jointly sponsored research and common activities can be performed; however there are also areas where collaboration would be inappropriate.

An enduring ‘safe-room’ forum for exchange between WMOs, TSOs and regulatory bodies would continue to provide benefits.
- To encourage a productive dialogue between WMOs, other TSOs and regulatory bodies at the European level;
- To enable civil society to interact with some key issues;
- A central coordination forum would eliminate overlap and inefficiency in TSO activities.

Governance is required for enduring collaboration

Suggested ground-rules for potential future cooperation between IGD TP, regulatory authorities and TSOs:
- One focus is on fundamental science, not interpretation in the context of the safety case;
- The second focus is on strategic issues (e.g. reversibility / retrievability, passive safety, long term safety, stability of geological system, impact on future generations, P&T, etc.)
- ...

Development of the ground-rules given adequate discussion with all parties and official publication would be a deliverable (Task 1) within the next call.
Microbial processes have been investigated by most, if not all, WMOs for up to two decades or more. Although the disposal concepts and the geological formations vary significantly from country to country, baseline microbial processes are the same. Nevertheless, the wealth of knowledge on microbial processes in radioactive waste disposal mostly remains with individual WMOs. The aim of this WG is to bring together the competence and knowledge on microbiological processes and form a long-lasting international community within which new results, projects and proposals can be shared and discussed. The WG will identify general cross-WMO interests, gaps in knowledge and discuss opportunities for a cooperative program and its financial and practical implementation. Subjects suggested for technical session and areas proposed for discussion and ground for a new proposal are:

- What energy sources and fluxes of energy will be available for microorganisms at repository conditions?
- To what extent, if any, can microbial dissolution of immobilized radionuclides and production of complexing agents increase radionuclide migration rates?
- Can microbial activity contribute to keeping the repository anoxic and at a low redox potential?
- To what extent can microbial production and consumption of gases from organic and inorganic sources influence the performance of repositories?
- Under what conditions can sulphide producing microorganisms increase corrosion rates of metal canisters for wastes?
- Microbial degradation of concrete is a well-known problem for many present day engineered constructions – can similar microbial processes occur in repositories?
- How do we model microbial processes in repositories over a very long time period. Present day models and requirements for the future.

Presentations and speakers:

1. Restriction of microbial growth and activity in a geological repository for radioactive waste (K Wouters, SCK-CEN, Belgium)
2. Microbial oxidation of H2 at the Mt. Terri underground laboratory (R. Bernier-Latmani, EPFL, Switzerland)
3. Understanding presence, diversity and activity of microorganisms in swelling clays intended for use in geological disposal of radioactive wastes (K. Pedersen, Micans, Sweden)
4. Modelling microbiology, gas reaction and chemical evolution of geological disposal facilities (J. Small, UK National Nuclear Laboratory, United Kingdom)
5. Carbon cycling in deep groundwaters and in manmade geological sites (M. Itävaara, VTT Finland)
7. The impact of microbial metabolism on the geodisposal of radwaste in multibarrier systems (JR. Lloyd, University of Manchester, United Kingdom)
8. Microbial influences on radionuclide behaviour – an example of less-understood problems and how to solve them (H. Moll, HZDR, Germany)
9. Microbe-radionuclide interaction in geological waste disposal facilities (N. Leys, SCK-CEN, Belgium)
10. Microbial influence on the immobilization of radionuclides in crystalline rock environments, Evelyn Krawczyk-Bärsch, HZDR, Institute of Resource, Germany
The presence of microbes in geological disposal facilities seems unavoidable. Microbes will very likely be introduced by human activity, through facility infrastructure and waste packages but some may also originate from the host rock itself. Pre- and post-closure activity of these microbes cannot be ruled out: bio-foiling, microbial mediated gas production and consumption, metal biocorrosion, concrete biodeterioration, etc. have been observed and reported in numerous in-situ and ex-situ test set-ups and facilities. It is thus necessary to investigate, besides the chemical, geological and physical processes, also the microbiological processes in such disposal scenario’s and how they may have an impact on the storage facility evolution and performance, on the short- and long term.

To address this aspect correctly, geomicrobiology research of waste disposal facilities is recommended, (i) to map the geochemical processes that can be catalysed by microbes in geological disposal facilities, (ii) to evaluate the rates at which these processes can occur in the given facilities, and (iii) to use this information to assess the impact of those bioprocesses on the waste, the facility and its environment. A variety of parameters are expected to have an effect on the microbial community in the so-called excavation disturbed zone (EDZ) in the vicinity of the Engineered Barrier System (EBS) and within the EBS itself. The combined EDZ-EBS effect is prone to lead to initially transient effects and an evolving geological environment and possibly a succession of different microbial activities and metabolisms. Apart from enhancement of microbial growth by the introduction of space, water, heat, energy sources and/or nutrient sources, a set of restrictions can be identified, depending on the host rock and the disposal concept. In the Belgian concept for disposal in clay, but also in other scenario’s where bentonite is a candidate buffer material, restrictive parameters for microbial proliferation and metabolic activity are identified as (i) space limitation upon consolidation pressure, (ii) elevated temperature up to 80°C, (iii) a lack of energy and nutrient sources and (iv) elevated pH due to alkaline leaching. Understanding how these restrictions will finally contribute to shaping the microbial community and the bioprocesses in and around the facility would thus be a first, essential step to assess the potential effects of microbial activity on the disposal system evolution and performance.

At the SCK•CEN, the interaction between the restrictive parameters temperature and consolidation, microbial community activity and composition, and Boom Clay (pore water) characteristics, are investigated. The researchers make use of the Underground Research Laboratory HADES (High Activity Disposal Experimental Site) that is managed and operated by EIG EURIDICE, in combination with intensive lab scale testing. HADES, located in the Boom Clay at a depth of 225 m below the surface, offers a unique access to a microbial community in a representative environment of which all geological and geochemical characteristics are being thoroughly studied.

**Temperature**

With the in situ, large scale PRACLAY experiment in HADES, the behavior of the Boom Clay upon increased temperature will be observed over a period of ten years or more (X. L. Li et al., 2010). From two of the piezometers, Boom Clay pore water and gas phase samples are being collected and analysed on a regular basis, to estimate the effect of the planned gradual heating on clay characteristics, including pore water composition. It was already shown that an active microbial community resides within these water samples. Any change in this microbial community regarding activity or composition is compared with changes in the geochemical pore water composition, to identify possible causal relationships. In an effort to distinguish between genuine geochemical processes on one hand and biologically induced artefacts on the other, additional state of the art analyses for screening presence, activity and diversity of the microbial community (Wouters et al., 2013) are therefore included in the PRACLAY experiment. Although these microbial analysis will be of utmost importance to evaluate geochemistry effects upon temperature increase, the thermal restriction of a true Boom Clay microbial community that is unbiased by piezometer sampling and circulation, remains to be addressed.

**Consolidation**

Because of the high plasticity of Boom Clay it is expected that, after excavation and facility installation, voids and fractures around the gallery and instrumentation will fill and close rapidly and that consolidation will restore clay density close to its initial state over a long time. Hence, porosity will return relatively fast to its
initial state. At certain consolidation thresholds, inhibition of microbial life is expected as a consequence of (i) isolation from nutrients required for growth or dependence on slow diffusion rates thereof, (ii) lack of space for cell proliferation, (iii) separation of synergistic community members and (iv) devastating consolidation pressure on the cells as such. To investigate this process more in detail, a high technology lab scale set-up was developed, in which a gradually increasing consolidation pressure will be imposed on Boom Clay slurries inoculated with a relevant Boom Clay borehole water microbial culture, using a set of continuously percolated oedometers. By combining the assessment of microbial presence and activity with physicochemical analyses, the consolidations thresholds for microbial life can be defined, which will be relevant for clay host rocks comparable to Boom Clay and could also contribute to microbial research on compacted buffer materials like bentonite (Stroes-Gascoyne et al., 2011, Rättö M. & Itavaara, 2012).
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Understanding presence, diversity and activity of microorganisms in swelling clays intended for use in geological disposal of radioactive wastes

K. Pedersen, Microbial Analytics, Sweden

The presence of microorganisms in commercial bentonite has been investigated in several studies. The analyses of different clays generally show a significant diversity and numbers of microorganisms including anaerobic nitrate-iron - and sulphate-reducing bacteria (NRB, SRB, IRB), acetogens and aerobic bacteria. Swelling clays can preserve microorganisms in a desiccated, inactive but viable state. Most radioactive waste disposal (RWD) designs include commercial bentonites in barriers and buffers. Consequently, there is a great chance that RWD repositories are inoculated with a significant diversity of microorganisms that will be activated when the clays come in contact with groundwater. Published results and on-going investigations show that NRB, IRB and SRB are activated in such a scenario. Nitrate-reducing bacteria produce nitrogen gas from nitrate present in some intermediate-level wastes. The presence of IRB implies a risk for microbial destabilization of the swelling clays due to reduction of structural ferric iron. It has been readily demonstrated that this process can occur with ferruginous nontronite-type clays but montmorillonite clays are not well investigated. Sulphate-reducing bacteria produce sulphide which is corrosive to metal canisters.

Understanding presence, diversity and activity of microorganisms in swelling clays intended for use in RWD repositories is consequently important. The RWD designs vary significantly from country to country because the geological and technical conditions differ. Assumably, some factors that influence microbial activity are common for all designs such as the relation between compaction, swelling pressure, temperature and microbial activity. Other conditions may be site specific such as the types of clay to be used, the geological formation and the repository design. The use of clays are unifying for most, if not all types of RWD repository designs. Research on the influence of microbial presence, diversity and activity in such clays is an excellent choice for a large, pan-European research program and the outcome will certainly contribute to competent RWD safety cases. Variables of importance for such research, in addition the above mentioned ones, can be pore space, transport conditions to and from the buffer boundaries and usability of the naturally occurring organic matter present in the clay and hydrogen from corroding metals.

Modelling microbiology, gas reaction and chemical evolution of geological disposal facilities

Joe Small, UK National Nuclear Laboratory

This presentation will provide an overview of biogeochemical modeling approaches that were originally developed and used to underpin the environmental safety case for near surface disposal of LLW in the UK. The approach represented in the Generalised Repository Model (GRM) addresses issues of gas generation (including the fate of H2), pH/Eh evolution and the speciation of redox sensitive radionuclides, including the inorganic and organic forms of C-14. This coupled microbial-growth-chemical-speciation approach has been validated and published through modelling of a large scale gas generation experiment (TVO, Finland) and has been applied to an in-situ nitrate reduction experiment at the Mont Terri URL. Currently, the NERC Bigrad project applies the model to examine the microbiological and chemical processes under cement-buffered alkaline pH conditions.

The presentation will conclude with a discussion of the data requirements, assumptions and lessons learnt in developing, validating and applying the GRM biogeochemical model. An outline of the future development of biogeochemical models of this type will be provided, including how the microbial modelling approach could be incorporated in a wider range of reactive-transport models.

Carbon cycling in deep groundwaters and in manmade geological sites

Merja Itävaara, VTT, Finland

Deep bedrock groundwaters contain very low amount of organic matter and microbes utilize mainly methane and carbon dioxide as C source. Organic substances originating from the surface or from construction materials and low radioactive wastes may also be C sources for microorganisms and accelerate their activity. Gas generation potential of organic compounds is dependent on their chemical and physical structure which can be determined by biodegradability tests. In 1997 a large scale gas generation experiment was started in Olkiluoto LLW/ILW repository (Teollisuuden Voima Oyj) to study biodegradation of low radioactive wastes and gases generated in real conditions. In addition an overview of present research activities concerning molecular microbial characterization of the Olkiluoto and other bedrock aquifers of Finland will be discussed.
Gas generation and removal in geological disposal of nuclear waste disposal: How do microorganisms fit in?

Hugo Moors, Katinka Wouters, Elie Valcke, Norbert Maes, Natalie Leys, SCK-CEN, Belgium

One of the major concerns of the safe disposal of nuclear waste in any deep geological formation is the possible impact of gasses. Gases can have different effects on the safety of nuclear waste disposal in deep geological formations. If the gas generation rate is larger than the capacity for diffusive transport of dissolved gas, a free gas phase will be formed. Such a free gas phase will alter the hydraulic and mechanical properties of the host rock. As a consequence, dissolved radionuclides (RN) and contaminants could be driven out of the engineered disturbed zone (EDZ) and/or out of the (clay) host rock, much faster than the expected diffusive transport. If the gas generation is lower than the capacity for diffusive transport, the effect will be dominated by the capacity of the gas to alter the geochemical characteristics of the EDZ and/or the clay host rock formation. Altered geochemical properties can also lead to changes in the dissolution chemistry of RN’s, thus resulting in altered diffusive parameters for radionuclide migration.

Which gas production and removal reactions, can and will occur, depends mainly on the host rock properties, type and matrix of the nuclear waste, type of used backfill material and tunnel lining material. Gasses can be generated or removed, due to a complex network of interrelated physical, geological, chemical and biological reactions. Gas production can be established by four different mechanisms: anoxic metal corrosion, radiolysis, radioactive decay and microbial metabolism. Removal of gasses can (theoretically) take place by the following mechanisms: dissolution and diffusion, two phase flow, gas induced fractures, chemical reactions, and – again – microbial gas assimilation or conversion. In any case, the role of microbes in these processes cannot be neglected. Metabolic and respiratory pathways of microorganisms, very often involve the consumption or production of gaseous components. For instance, hydrogen gas, which will be formed in substantial amounts through anoxic corrosion of the metal nuclear waste canisters, is the preferred energy source for many microorganisms inhabiting the subsurface; which can also produce methane. Carbon dioxide gas, on the other hand, is a common metabolic end product of the degradation/oxidation of organic matter. Nitrogen gas, is the natural end product of microbial nitrate reduction. Sulphate reducing bacteria will produce dihydrogen sulphide gas. Hence, the inevitable contribution of microorganisms on gas generation and removal and its impact on the safety of nuclear waste disposal in deep confined geological formations, needs to be investigated.

SCK-CEN has gained a profound knowledge of the effect of possible gas perturbations in relation to the safe disposal of nuclear waste into clays. It started very early with the MEGAS projects of the early nineties, and is ongoing with the current FORGE (Fate of Repository Gasses) pan-European project, a project which is funded and running within the EU Seventh Framework Program. During the MEGAS project the first proof was given of the important role microorganisms can possess, when unexpectedly, after a stable period of 210 days, the hydrogen pressure inside a closed geochemical reactor suddenly dropped to roughly a fourth of its value. Later, gas analysis showed that hydrogen was completely converted into methane. Such conversion was thermodynamically impossible and could only be explained by the presence of methane producing Archaea. Following the MEGAS reactor tests, other projects have suffered and demonstrated the impact of microorganisms on altering the gas pressure and the geochemistry of clay in closed systems. Also the interaction of microbes with the waste material compounds cannot be excluded, as is demonstrated for example in the Mont Terri BN-project studying the fate of nitrate leached from bituminized intermediate-level long-lived radioactive waste, in a geological test set-up.

To further elucidate the fundamental mechanisms driving microbial gas production and consumption, new in situ field and ex situ laboratory batch testing has to be done. Series of small batches, in which different combinations of carbon sources, electron donors and –acceptors are changed, can give “proof of principle”, while more elaborate batch tests are able to give a better simulation of real repository conditions. Field testing is necessary to validate laboratory batch experiments under in situ nuclear waste repository conditions. Another major advantage of in situ experiments, from geo-microbiology perspective, is the presence of a real and representative microbial community, of which the evolution and representative in situ activity can be monitored.
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The Mont Terri Bitumen-Nitrate-Clay interaction test (BN project) is undertaken in close cooperation with the operator of the Mont Terri rock laboratory (Swisstopo) and the project management team at Mont Terri, in particular Christophe Nussbaum and Thierry Theurillat. Financial support was provided by the Mont Terri Consortium.

The impact of microbial metabolism on the geodisposal of radwaste in multibarrier systems

Prof. J.R. Lloyd, University of Manchester, UK

Microbial metabolism has the potential to impact significantly on wasteform evolution and radionuclide mobility in geodisposed wasteforms, and must be incorporated into repository safety cases. It has been assumed previously that microbial metabolism will be minimal in the harsh near field environment of a multibarrier geodisposal facility, but recent research using the latest advances in post-genomic, spectroscopy and imaging techniques, focusing on appropriate analogue sites and microcosm/mesocosm experimental systems is challenging this view. This presentation will give an overview of a range of collaborative studies which have focused on microbial gas metabolism, complexant biodegradation, radionuclide biogeochemistry, radiation biology and biomineral evolution in scenarios relevant to the near and far fields of geodisposal facilities. Of particular relevance will be work conducted via the UK’s NERC BIGRAD programme (with links to several European WMOs), focusing on high pH concrete-based disposal systems.

Microbial influences on radionuclide behaviour - an example of less-understood problems and how to solve them

Henry Moll, Helmholtz-Zentrum Dresden-Rossendorf, Institute of Resource Ecology, Germany

The potential ambivalent effects of microorganisms on radionuclide transport in the near and far-field of nuclear waste repositories up to the biosphere is discussed. Issues such as microbially induced redox processes of radonucleides, the role of secreted microbial bioligands, of biosorption and biocolloids, the in-situ kinetics of microbial reactions and the quantification of their products are addressed.

Besides the prominent processes influencing the migration of actinides in the environment, e.g. sorption onto mineral surfaces, there is growing attention to the influence of indigenous microorganisms on actinide speciation. The concept of geological disposal comprises a detailed knowledge concerning potential host rock formations also in terms of such microorganisms. It is well known that respective bacteria can affect the speciation and hence the mobility of actinides. Thus, dominant bacterial strains from sites destined for future nuclear waste deposition have to be investigated regarding their interaction mechanisms with soluble actinide ions. The understanding of the speciation and the structure of the radionuclide complexes formed in presence of indigenous bacteria over a range of geochemical parameters (e.g., pH, metal concentration) becomes indispensable for eventually predicting the safety of a planned nuclear waste repository. The impact of bacteria on radionuclide speciation will be discussed by taking three examples:

a) the influence of the Mont Terri Opalinus Clay isolate Sporomusa sp. on the plutonium speciation [1];
b) curium(III) interaction with cells of the Äspö - groundwater bacterium Pseudomonas fluorescens [2], and c) curium(III) complexation/mobilization with pyoverdins secreted by the Äspö-strain P. fluorescens [3].
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Microbe-radionuclide interaction in geological waste disposal facilities

Natalie Leys, Nuclear Research Centre SCK•CEN, Belgium

Contamination of the environment by radioactive material (such as uranium (U), selenium (Se), neptunium (Np), plutonium (Pu)) leaching from waste in geological disposal facilities in the near and far future is a subject of concern for all nuclear waste agencies. The mobility and thus the ultimate release into the biosphere of these radioactive redox-sensitive elements is however a complex process and still not well characterised.

Radionuclide mobility is mainly determined by their chemical form and speciation, which is turn is determined by the alkalinity (pH), redox potential (Eh), and the presence of ligands, in the waste and the geological repository. Many geological, physical and chemical parameters contribute to the speciation of radioactive elements and are already subject of detailed investigations. However, it has also been shown that the behaviour of radioactive pollutants can be significantly affected by the microorganisms present. The possible impact of microbes on radionuclide transformation and (im)mobilisation thus needs to be addressed, as it will be needed for the optimisation of predictive models and for the development of new technologies for safe radioactive waste management in geological disposal facilities.

Microbial processes can directly and indirectly affect radionuclide migration in multiple ways. Microbes can directly interact with the radionuclides and enhance dissolution of immobilized metals and radionuclides, and produce complexing agents which can mobilise metal ions from solid phases and thus increase radionuclide migration rates. On the other hand, microbes can also biodegrade some of the organic complexing and mobilising agents or contribute to the biomineralisation and bioprecipitation of the radionuclides. For example, biofilm coatings formed on the interfaces between waste, repository infrastructure and host rock (in the near field) can accumulate metal ions and radionuclides, thereby retarding radionuclide migration. In addition, microbes have also a significant impact on their (local) environment through groundwater pH, Eh, and chemistry, and will as such also indirectly influence radionuclide migration.

SCK•CEN has contributed to several geochemical experiments to unravel the interplay between microbial biofilms and radionuclides and the effect of microbial induced redox transformations of iron minerals (Fe) on radionuclide mobility (FUNMIG project, EC-FP6) in a clay environment. SCK•CEN has also investigated in detail the microbial communities present in clay repositories and the bacterium Cupriavidus metallidurans CH34 as a microbial model organism for microbe-metal interactions in the laboratory. The aim of these investigations is to determine the effect of microbes, such as the bacterium C. metallidurans CH34, on the speciation of (radioactive) metal pollutants and to understand the biological molecular mechanisms and biochemistry of these effects. State-of-the-art molecular biology (e.g. mutagenesis, RNA-chips, genome sequencing, metagenomics, etc.) and bioinformatics tools are used. More than 30 years of research on C. metallidurans CH34 has revealed the complexity of the cellular and biochemical processes that take place when bacteria come into contact with toxic metals such as arsenic (As), mercury (Hg), nickel (Ni), zink (Zn), cobalt (Co), chromium (Cr), copper (Cu) and cadmium (Cd), and radionuclides such as selenium (Se) and uranium (U). For example, it has been shown that C. metallidurans CH34, and many other bacteria, can change uranyl, a very insoluble form of uranium which can be easily spread in the environment, into uraninite, a very insoluble form of uranium which is much less mobile in the environment. Current investigations at SCK•CEN focus on the bioleaching and sequestration capacities of C. metallidurans CH34 (e.g. by metallophore production and biofilm formation) to release metals from solid phase minerals. Furthermore, the detailed molecular knowledge on microbe-metal interaction is also being used to develop biosensor techniques to detect and monitor the biological available (mobile) fractions of metals and radionuclides in (ground)water, soils, feed or food.

However, despite the extensive laboratory research and detailed knowledge on the interaction of some bacteria with specific radionuclides, currently still little is known about the microbe-radionuclide interactions that could occur under the in situ environmental conditions prevailing in nuclear waste disposal facilities. Many of these microbial mediated biochemical processes, and the rates at which they occur, strongly depend on the actual in situ activity of the microbial community present, the waste and package type (i.e. co-leaching products), the radionuclide concentration and its radiological and chemical toxicity, and also the in situ prevailing environmental parameters in the disposal facility and the host rock, including pH, redox conditions,
available iron, phosphate, carbonate concentrations, etc. Moreover, the potential impact of these microbial processes on the repository safety will vary strongly with the type of host rock (clay versus granite). Thus, the extent of microbial (im)mobilisation of radionuclides needs to be further investigated under in situ repository conditions, supported with detailed geochemical and radionuclide speciation and migration analyses. To our knowledge, such in situ microbial test set-up to investigate the impact of full microbial communities on radionuclide leaching, transformation and migration, under in situ conditions in geological disposal facilities, has yet to be developed.

This research will benefit from the growing informal network of researchers in Europe investigating the biogeochemistry of radionuclides and remediation technologies. The most obvious and direct beneficiaries from such research are the nuclear industry and regulators and the nuclear decommissioning authorities in Europe. However, national governments will also benefit from this research as it addresses a significant environmental problem of public concern and will aid in the development of hazard prevention strategies and technologies.

In crystalline rock, the dominant transport medium for radionuclides is groundwater flowing through subsurface fractures. Since groundwater is containing microorganisms, fracture surfaces support biological growth of microbial communities. The formed subsurface microbial communities have a significant effect on the adsorption capacity of host rock formations by forming a barrier between the rock surface and the groundwater. But how do these microbial communities influence the mobilization or immobilization of radionuclides in the case of a nuclear incident? As known from experiments performed on biofilms from the underground rock characterization facility tunnel ONKALO in Finland and from the Åspö Hard Rock Laboratory (HRL) in Sweden, microbes can significantly affect subsurface biogeochemical interactions, leading to the immobilization and (bio-)adsorption of radionuclides. Under the ambient conditions in the Åspö HRL (neutral pH of the groundwater, high amount of ferrous iron in the groundwater, aerobic conditions) the uptake of radionuclides like U(VI) and Np(V) was determined to be 85% and 95%, respectively due to the abundant surface area of the bacteriogenically formed ferricydrite.

In order to define the influence of microbial communities and their relevance in the safety assessment of a nuclear waste repository some important points have to be considered:

- We have to characterize the possible microbial diversity during changing geochemical conditions (Eh, pH, T, p, chemical composition of the groundwater) in an opened and closed deposit. Bioaccumulation experiments performed on selected microbes using different radionuclides have to emphasize at least on aerobic conditions.

- Information about the sources for electron donors and electron acceptors for microbial activity are needed.

- To estimate the relevance of microbial process, we have to know more about the kinetic of microbial growth. Therefor we have to trace the effect of microbial metabolism and growth in a geochemical system using a generalized kinetic rate law. We need to estimate biofilm growth for process description, including different scales and different types of radionuclides. What are the parameters, which are needed for modeling the kinetic of microbial growth? The aim of the modeling will be a quantification of microbial processes and the assessment of microbially mediated retention of radionuclides.
WG-5
Microbiological studies

WG-5 description
- >27 participants from research and performance assessment – an interdisciplinary group
  - Waste management organisations
  - Technical support organisations
  - Universities
  - Consulting companies
  - National research centers/institutes
- Gender perspective: 50/50
- 9 countries represented
- 9 presentations from 6 countries

Presence of microorganisms
- Microbes are everywhere including the underground – the deep biosphere
- Microbial life change chemical equilibria.
- Microorganisms influence and change the geochemical environment, e.g. pH, $E_h$

BUT
- Microbial processes are missing in the current strategic research agenda (SRA)

Main microbial processes
- Microbially induced degradation
  - Corrosion of metal canisters
  - Degradation of buffer, backfill and cement
- Gases
  - Production –
  - Consumption +
- Migration
  - Mobilisation –
  - Immobilisation +
Degradation 1

- Corrosion of metal canisters
  - Sulphide production
    - \( \text{H}_2 \) from anaerobic corrosion of metals contribute to sulphide production.
- Uncertainties
  - Microbial kinetics
  - Mass balances

Degradation 2

- Degradation of buffer and backfill
  - Iron-reduction of structural ferric iron in smectite clays – reduced swelling capacity
- Uncertainties
  - Kinetics (metabolism)
  - Mass balances

Degradation 3

- Degradation of cement and lowering of pH
  - Heterogeneity in pH allows microbial processes to develop at neutral pH in waste drums
  - Eventually, concrete buffered alkaline water is neutralised
  - Fermenting bacteria produce acids
- Uncertainties
  - Microbial influence on pH?

Gases

- Production
  - \( \text{CO}_2 \) and \( \text{CH}_4 \) from organic wastes (analogue: biogas reactor)
  - \( \text{N}_2 \) and \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) from some waste forms
- Consumption
  - \( 4\text{H}_2 + \text{CO}_2 = \text{CH}_4 + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} \) ("5 becomes 1")
  - \( 4\text{H}_2 + \text{SO}_4^{2-} = \text{S}^{2-} + 4\text{H}_2\text{O} \) ("4 becomes 0")
Migration 1

- Mobilisation
  - Microbial complex formers
  - Low molecular weight acids
  - Sorption to free-living cells and viruses

- Uncertainty
  - Importance?
  - Impact on the safety case?

Migration 2

- Immobilisation
  - Biofilms sorb radionuclides
  - Microbial reduction can immobilize radionuclides, e.g. U, Tc, Np, Se.
  - Degradation of organic complexing agents, e.g. isosaccharinic acids.
  - Coupled processes

- Uncertainty
  - Importance?
  - Impact on the safety case?

The safety case can benefit from:

- New knowledge in geomicrobiology and the deep biosphere
- Probing microbial processes using new and advanced genome technologies
- Advances in imaging and spectroscopy

SRA Key topic 1 safety case

- “The safety case must be able to describe the evolution of the repository in a way that can be seen as a reasonable representation of what might happen and that also gives a clear indication of uncertainties in the description”.
- WG-5 noted that the SRA lacks representation of microbial processes and indication of uncertainties caused by microbial processes.
A technical and scientific working group (TSWG) on biological processes next?

- Review past and present research and models.
- Understand uncertainties in the safety case caused by microbial processes.
- Evaluate how knowledge about microbial processes can be merged into present safety models and concepts.
- Identify gaps in knowledge and suggest research needs.
- Define a scope of a proposal to be submitted to an EC call or a specific project co-financed by the WMOs.
Conclusions and way forward - Plenary session 3

Philippe Lalieux, Chairman
Major achievements to date (1)

- Vision / SRA / DP
- 2009 -2013 In line with the SRA Key topics and DP
  - 13 of 16 Joint Activities already launched
  - 8 EU project launched (54,7 M€ EC contribution 25,6 M€)
- 2013 Major achievements
  - Active Working Groups: Cement, Monitoring, CMET, NKM
  - On tracks: Uncertainty handling in safety cases
  - Established liaisons with Sitex and SNETP
  - Contacts with EESC starting
- 2013 EF 4
  - 150 attendees in Prague ➔ networking is at work
  - Preparation of future work

Major achievements (2) to date

- Reinforcement of IGDTP internal organisation with a medium/long term visibility based on :
  - A stable organisation with clear management till 2017
  - The yearly publication of the Master Deployment Plan to follow-up advances in reaching the vision
  - A first tentative planning and budget till 2025
  - Consideration of IGD-TP priorities by the EC in the FP7 and future EC calls
- SRA as a living document
- But :
  - Still expecting additional WMOs from NMS at the EG

Suggestions / open questions

- Interest for Joint Factsheets SNETP / NUGENIA / IGDTP
  - Need for disposal, …
- Need for continuing RD&D acknowledged
  - How to implement this beyond IGDTP’s vision (2025)
- Place of fundamental research within IGDTP
  - Not in the SRA does not mean of no interest for WMO’s
  - Continuous spectrum, artificial division
- Euratom programme
  - 15% budget FP7 on disposal
  - Representative of the challenge at hand
  - Critical mass for justifying EJP
Suggestions / open questions

- **EESC**
  - Be open towards external world!
  - European Energy Dialogue where IGDTTP is welcome
- **INSOTEC look at IGDTTP**
  - Risk of becoming a close club
  - Resources for stakeholders?
  - Efforts towards engaging a larger group of stakeholders are welcome (EESC, …)
  - Lack of clear strategy of reaching stakeholders (Why?)
  - Bridging the gap local / project ➔ European / TP remains a challenge
- **Synergies between all initiatives on stakeholder involvement?**

Suggestions / open questions

- **NMS’**
  - Driving forces / barrier to an increased participation in Euratom
  - Lack of national coordination
  - Lack of HR is the major concern for increased participation
  - Moving towards regional repositories
  - Low level of knowledge of TP within NMS’ ➔ prerequisite for further engagement
  - Participation in EG as an entrance vehicle to Euratom
- **WG dissemination:**
  - needs aligned to SRA + need on support on infrastructure and process information required for EC Directive (e.g. inventory, R&D programme set up)
  - Implementation ideas considered.

Suggestions / open questions

- **KM tool to be evaluated**
  - One universal content search tool for all publicly available reports (and content) from IGDTTP members
- **Proposal for TSWG on remaining issues for crystalline rocks (SafeRock) to be evaluated**

Suggestions / open questions

- **WG 1 - Cement**
  - Discussions within TSWG and with WMOs on cement issues over the last year resulted in a mature project basis
  - Cement WG agreed upon the basis for a potential project covering
    - Interactions influencing transport properties
    - Radionuclide retention
    - Thermodynamics and modeling
  - WMOs will formulate questions to be answered in the project
  - Potential partners will review the information and provide input where to contribute
  - Planning meeting in March 2014 at KIT defining priorities (Representatives from WMOs an R&D Orgs.)
  - In addition: proposal for a TSWG meeting on Organics - radionuclides - cement interaction (Representatives from WMOs an R&D Orgs.) (spring 2014)
WG2 – Monitoring (1)

- Based on SRA and Master Deployment Plan
  - Aimed to Performance Confirmation
  - Focused on operational phase (but taking into account other phases)
  - Also integrating Communication and Stakeholder involvement issues (Euradwaste 2013 conclusions)

- Following results and recommendations from MoDeRn
  - Starting point: “Call for ideas” exercise (June 2013) confirmed by the WG
  - Refined and screened in the Working Group

WG2 – Monitoring (2)

Future project should include activities on:

- **Strategy aspects**
  Identification of requirements and critical parameters. Definition of response plans. Approaches for the different phase repositories.

- **Technology development**
  Sensors, wireless, long term power supply

- **Practical implementation**
  Development of specific monitoring concepts. Demonstration of integrated systems. Feedback to Safety Case and repository design.

- **Communication & Stakeholder involvement**
  Stakeholder engagement and expectations. Information exchange methods (to be integrated in previous tasks)

Next step: Building up a consortium to prepare a proposal on Monitoring, according to the EC call terms (Jan 2014)

WG3 – New waste types (SNETP)

- **1 – Strategy and vision:**
  The link between the two platforms is important: to identify the common ground
  The IGD-TP should consider two different time scales (< 2025, > 2025)

- **2 – The graphite issue:**
  Research collaboration efforts are needed; some urgency is indicated

- **3 – Suggested collaborative projects:**
  CarboSOLUTIONS
  We should start now to optimise waste forms from Gen IV: materials (ex: MIDAS and “pyrowastes”)

WG4: RD&D TSO’s Needs:

- Sitex outcomes on R&D issues are coherent with SRA
- Possibility for collaboration and willingness to find common areas of interest
  - Basic science (microbial processes and corrosion)
  - Fundamental interpretation of basic principles
  - Strategic issues
- Need for some concrete examples to start with:
  - Passive safety and geology
  - Reversibility & retrievability
- Need for transparent and published “groundrules”
WG 5 – microbiology (1)

- WG-5 noted that the SRA lacks representation of microbial processes and indication of uncertainties caused by microbial processes.
- WG-5 noted the absence of established network on microbiological issues.

WG 5 – microbiology (2)

- WG-5 proposes that a technical and scientific working group (TSWG) on biological processes should be opened to:
  - Review past and present research and models.
  - Understand uncertainties in the safety case caused by microbial processes.
  - Evaluate how knowledge about microbial processes can be merged into present safety models and concepts.
  - Identify gaps in knowledge and suggest research needs.
  - Define a scope of a proposal to be submitted to an EC call or a specific project co-financed by the WMOs.

Improving EF

- 2 days is a good amount of time.
- Right number of working groups right number (~5) with 20-30 in each → OK for a good discussion.
- Next Exchange Forum topics may depend on the outcome of EF IV Working Groups.
- Other recommendations and comments to Secretariat@igd-tp.eu.
- All presentations from EF IV will go on project place.

EF is becoming a place where we do not only discuss but also construct.

Way Forward for IGD-TP

- Discuss these proposals and issues at the next Executive Groups (31 October, Feb 2014).
- Keep the momentum.
- Manchester 24-26 June 2014 for scientific update on all SRA topics.
- Keep you informed.
  - All presentations will be available on our web site tomorrow.
Thanks to:
- Hosting organisation: SURAO
- All speakers
- Rapporteurs
- The Secretariat
- all of you!

SEE YOU AT EF 5!
SWEDEN, October 28-29, 2014
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Useful links

IGD-TP Strategic Documentation:

- Strategic Research Agenda (2011)
- Master Deployment Plan (2013)

Websites:

- IGD-TP: www.igdtp.eu
- DOPAS: www.posiva.fi/dopas
- SNETP: www.snetp.eu
- SITEX: www.sitexproject.eu
- EC DG Research & Innovation: http://ec.europa.eu/research/
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</tr>
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<td>9:00</td>
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<tr>
<td>9:20</td>
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<td></td>
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<tr>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Daniela Diaconu, INR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:30</td>
<td>Organisation of the parallel Working Groups</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:40</td>
<td><strong>Lunch</strong></td>
</tr>
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b) **Parallel sessions - Working Groups Session 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Event</th>
</tr>
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<tbody>
<tr>
<td>14:00</td>
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<td></td>
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<table>
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</thead>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
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</tr>
</thead>
</table>
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**IGD-TP Chair** |                         |
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<td>3. Return experience with the use of innovative monitoring techniques to measure deformation, cracking and corrosion in the Belgian Supercontainer (Lou Areias, EURIDICE)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
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<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
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<td></td>
<td>7. MoDeRn Project. Lessons learned and further work requirement (Matt White, GALSON SCIENCES)</td>
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</tr>
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</tr>
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</tr>
<tr>
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</tr>
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</tr>
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<tr>
<td></td>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. Overview of the IGD-TP Strategic Research Agenda (SRA) (Jon Martin, NDA)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3. Development of an R&amp;D programme by Bel V in the field of safety of radioactive waste disposal (Valéry Detilleux)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4. The experimental research programme at Tournemire – examples of safety challenges from IRSN point of view (Jean Dominique Barnichon)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5. Impact of permafrost on repository safety (Ton Wildenborg)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>WG5</strong></td>
<td><strong>Microbiological Studies</strong></td>
<td>Birgitta Kalinowski, SKB Karsten Pedersen, Micans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1. Restriction of microbial growth and activity in a geological repository for radioactive waste (K. Wouters, SCK-CEN, Belgium)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. Microbial oxidation of H2 at the Mt. Terri underground laboratory (R. Bernier-Latmani, EPFL, Switzerland)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3. Understanding presence, diversity and activity of microorganisms in swelling clays intended for use in geological disposal of radioactive wastes (K. Pedersen, Micans, Sweden)</td>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4. Modelling microbiology, gas reaction and chemical evolution of geological disposal facilities (J. Small, UK National Nuclear Laboratory, United Kingdom)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Title</td>
<td>Presenter &amp; Institution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Carbon cycling in deep groundwaters and in manmade geological sites</td>
<td>M. Itävaara, VTT Finland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>The impact of microbial metabolism on the geodisposal of radwaste in multibarrier systems</td>
<td>J.R. Lloyd, University of Manchester, United Kingdom</td>
</tr>
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<td>8</td>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Microbe-radionuclide interaction in geological waste disposal facilities</td>
<td>N. Leys, SCK-CEN, Belgium</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Appendix III

### List of participants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First Name</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Institution</th>
<th>Country</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Behnaz</td>
<td>Aghili</td>
<td>SKB</td>
<td>Sweden</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marcus</td>
<td>Altmaier (excused)</td>
<td>KIT-INE</td>
<td>Germany</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kjell</td>
<td>Andersson</td>
<td>Karita Research</td>
<td>Sweden</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luis</td>
<td>Aparicio</td>
<td>Andra</td>
<td>France</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lou</td>
<td>Areias</td>
<td>EURIDICE</td>
<td>Belgium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anthony</td>
<td>Banford</td>
<td>NNL</td>
<td>UK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jean-Dominique</td>
<td>Barnichon</td>
<td>IRSN</td>
<td>France</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keith</td>
<td>Bateman</td>
<td>British Geological Survey</td>
<td>UK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tara</td>
<td>Beattie</td>
<td>MCM Consulting</td>
<td>UK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dirk-Alexander</td>
<td>Becker</td>
<td>GRS</td>
<td>Germany</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andreas</td>
<td>Bengtsson</td>
<td>Microbial Analytics Sweden AB</td>
<td>Sweden</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frédéric</td>
<td>Bernier</td>
<td>FANC</td>
<td>Belgium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rizlan</td>
<td>Bernier-Latmani</td>
<td>EPFL</td>
<td>Switzerland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matthias</td>
<td>Beushausen</td>
<td>Bundesamt für Strahlenschutz</td>
<td>Germany</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thomas</td>
<td>Beuth</td>
<td>GRS</td>
<td>Germany</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilhelm</td>
<td>Bollingerfehr</td>
<td>DBE TECHNOLOGY GmbH</td>
<td>Germany</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Divk</td>
<td>Bosbach</td>
<td>IEK-6 Forschungszentrum Jülich</td>
<td>Germany</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xavier</td>
<td>Bourbon</td>
<td>Andra</td>
<td>France</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wernt</td>
<td>Brewitz</td>
<td>TU Braunschweig/ KIT</td>
<td>Germany</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gunnar</td>
<td>Buckau</td>
<td>JRC</td>
<td>EU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stéphane</td>
<td>Buschaert</td>
<td>Andra</td>
<td>France</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riccardo</td>
<td>Casale</td>
<td>SOGIN SpA</td>
<td>Italy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miroslav</td>
<td>Černík</td>
<td>Technical University of Liberec</td>
<td>Czech Republic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tomáš</td>
<td>Černoušek</td>
<td>Centrum výzkumu Řež s.r.o.</td>
<td>Czech Republic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andrea</td>
<td>Cherkouk</td>
<td>Helmholtz-Zentrum Dresden-Rossendorf</td>
<td>Germany</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Claire</td>
<td>Corkhill</td>
<td>The University of Sheffield</td>
<td>UK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miguel</td>
<td>Cuñado</td>
<td>ENRESA</td>
<td>Spain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brian</td>
<td>Curtis</td>
<td>EESC</td>
<td>EU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christophe</td>
<td>Davies</td>
<td>European Commission</td>
<td>Belgium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aymeric</td>
<td>De Lhoneux</td>
<td>FANC</td>
<td>Belgium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guido</td>
<td>Deissmann</td>
<td>Forschungszentrum Jülich</td>
<td>Germany</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jacques</td>
<td>Delay</td>
<td>Andra</td>
<td>France</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valéry</td>
<td>Detilleux</td>
<td>Bel V</td>
<td>Belgium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daniela</td>
<td>Diaconu</td>
<td>Institute for Nuclear Research</td>
<td>Romania</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jacques</td>
<td>Diederik</td>
<td>SCK•CEN</td>
<td>Belgium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alessandro</td>
<td>Dorado</td>
<td>ENEA/ENTRAP</td>
<td>Italy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lara</td>
<td>Duro</td>
<td>AMPHOS 21 CONSULTING, S.L.</td>
<td>Spain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pavel</td>
<td>Dusilek</td>
<td>SÚRAO</td>
<td>Czech Republic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Johanna</td>
<td>Edlund</td>
<td>Microbial Analytics Sweden AB</td>
<td>Sweden</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Torsen</td>
<td>Eng</td>
<td>SKB</td>
<td>Sweden</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joaquín</td>
<td>Farias - Seifert</td>
<td>ENRESA</td>
<td>Spain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regis</td>
<td>Farret</td>
<td>INERIS</td>
<td>France</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Margot</td>
<td>Flachet</td>
<td>IRSN</td>
<td>France</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bernd</td>
<td>Frieg</td>
<td>Nagra</td>
<td>Switzerland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>José Luis</td>
<td>Fuentes-Cantilana</td>
<td>Aitemin</td>
<td>Spain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marie</td>
<td>Garcia</td>
<td>Andra</td>
<td>France</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Affiliation</td>
<td>Country</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>José Luis</td>
<td>García-Siñeriz</td>
<td>Spain</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irina</td>
<td>Gaus</td>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eric</td>
<td>Giffaut</td>
<td>France</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgios</td>
<td>Glinatsis</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simon</td>
<td>Gregory</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mireia</td>
<td>Grive</td>
<td>Spain</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tuire</td>
<td>Haavisto</td>
<td>Finland</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lotta</td>
<td>Hallbeck</td>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monica</td>
<td>Hammarström</td>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irena</td>
<td>Hanusová</td>
<td>Czech Republic</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Václava</td>
<td>Havlová</td>
<td>Czech Republic</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milan</td>
<td>Hokr</td>
<td>Czech Republic</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erika</td>
<td>Holt</td>
<td>Finland</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neil</td>
<td>Hyatt</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merja</td>
<td>Itávaara</td>
<td>Finland</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tiina</td>
<td>Jalonen</td>
<td>Finland</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michael</td>
<td>Jobmann</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lawrence</td>
<td>Johnson</td>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hagen Gunther</td>
<td>Jung <em>(excused)</em></td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thomas</td>
<td>Kaempfer</td>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birgitta</td>
<td>Kalinowski</td>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bernhard</td>
<td>Kienzler</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petr</td>
<td>Kovařík</td>
<td>Czech Republic</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raymond</td>
<td>Kowe</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evelyn</td>
<td>Krawczyk-Bärsch</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terho</td>
<td>Laakso</td>
<td>Finland</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jere</td>
<td>Lahdenperä</td>
<td>Finland</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philippe</td>
<td>Lalieux</td>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catherine</td>
<td>Landesman <em>(excused)</em></td>
<td>France</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denisa</td>
<td>Lastovkova</td>
<td>Slovakia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gérard</td>
<td>Laurent</td>
<td>France</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patrick</td>
<td>Lebon</td>
<td>France</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elisabeth</td>
<td>Leclerc <em>(excused)</em></td>
<td>France</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riccardo</td>
<td>Levizzari</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natalie</td>
<td>Leys</td>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Becky</td>
<td>Lunn</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meritxell</td>
<td>Martell</td>
<td>Spain</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jon</td>
<td>Martin</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jean Michel</td>
<td>MATRAY</td>
<td>France</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juan Carlos</td>
<td>Mayor</td>
<td>Spain</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hans</td>
<td>Meeussen</td>
<td>The Netherlands</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Artur</td>
<td>Meleshyn</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michael</td>
<td>Mente</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jitka</td>
<td>Miksova</td>
<td>Czech Republic</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henry</td>
<td>Moll</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Piersante</td>
<td>Morandini</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan</td>
<td>Najser</td>
<td>Czech Republic</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Institution/Company</td>
<td>Country</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiona Neall</td>
<td>Galson Sciences Ltd</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balint Nos</td>
<td>PURAM</td>
<td>Hungary</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ulrich Noseck</td>
<td>GRS</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mikko Nkyri</td>
<td>Safram Oy</td>
<td>Finland</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Markus Olin</td>
<td>VTT</td>
<td>Finland</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hitoshi Owada</td>
<td>RWMC</td>
<td>Japan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juhani Palmu</td>
<td>Posiva Oy</td>
<td>Finland</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frantisek Pazdera</td>
<td>SNETP</td>
<td>EU</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Karsten Pedersen</td>
<td>Microbial Analytics Sweden AB</td>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frédéric Plas</td>
<td>Andra</td>
<td>France</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ilija Plecas</td>
<td>Vinca Institute</td>
<td>Serbia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petr Polivka</td>
<td>Centrum výzkumu Řež s.r.o.</td>
<td>Czech Republic</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jon Prof. J.R. Lloyd</td>
<td>University of Manchester</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David Read</td>
<td>Loughborough University</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antonietta Rizzo</td>
<td>ENEA</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chris Rochelle</td>
<td>British Geological Survey</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muriel Rocher</td>
<td>IRSN</td>
<td>France</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thomas Schröder</td>
<td>NRG</td>
<td>The Netherlands</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicolas Seigneur</td>
<td>ULB</td>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Massimo Sepielli</td>
<td>ENEA</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christophe Serres</td>
<td>IRSN</td>
<td>France</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alena Ševců</td>
<td>Technical University of Liberec</td>
<td>Czech Republic</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anders Sjöland</td>
<td>SKB</td>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jiři Slovák</td>
<td>RAWRA</td>
<td>Czech Republic</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joe Small</td>
<td>NNL</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Karel Sosna</td>
<td>ARCADIS CZ</td>
<td>Czech Republic</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walter Steninger</td>
<td>KIT</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kei Suzuki</td>
<td>RWMC</td>
<td>Japan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jiri Svoboda</td>
<td>CTU in Prague</td>
<td>Czech Republic</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Francesco Troiani</td>
<td>NUCLECO SpA</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radek Trtílek</td>
<td>ÚJV Řež, a. s.</td>
<td>Czech Republic</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>María Jesús Turrero</td>
<td>CIEMAT</td>
<td>Spain</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elie Valcke</td>
<td>Nuclear Research Centre SCK•CEN</td>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Katrin van Bevern</td>
<td>VGB PowerTech e.V.</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maarten Van Geet</td>
<td>ONDRAF/NIRAS</td>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alexandra van Kalleveen</td>
<td>JRC</td>
<td>EU</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radek Vašíček</td>
<td>CEG, CTU in Prague</td>
<td>Czech Republic</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petr Večerník</td>
<td>ÚJV Řež, a. s.</td>
<td>Czech Republic</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marek Vencl</td>
<td>SÚRAO Radioactive Waste Repository Authority</td>
<td>Czech Republic</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ewoud Verhoef</td>
<td>COVRA</td>
<td>The Netherlands</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan Verstricht</td>
<td>EIG EURIDICE</td>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maria Victoria Villar</td>
<td>CIEMAT</td>
<td>Spain</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Claudia Vivalda</td>
<td>Vivalda Scientific Services</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anna Vojtěchovská</td>
<td>Arcadis CZ</td>
<td>Czech Republic</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antonin Vokál</td>
<td>SURAO</td>
<td>Czech Republic</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Werner von Lensa</td>
<td>Forschungszentrum Juelic GmbH</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helena Vysoká</td>
<td>Arcadis CZ</td>
<td>Czech Republic</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dominique Warin</td>
<td>CEA</td>
<td>France</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>First Name</td>
<td>Last Name</td>
<td>Institution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virginie</td>
<td>Wasselin</td>
<td>IRSN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matt</td>
<td>White</td>
<td>Galson Sciences Ltd</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peter</td>
<td>Wikberg</td>
<td>SKB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ton</td>
<td>Wildenborg</td>
<td>TNO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steve</td>
<td>Williams</td>
<td>NDA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Katinka</td>
<td>Wouters</td>
<td>Nuclear Research Centre SCK•CEN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joanna</td>
<td>Wragg</td>
<td>British Geological Survey</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harald</td>
<td>Zänker</td>
<td>HZDR, Institute of Resource Ecology</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jiří</td>
<td>Záruba</td>
<td>ARCADIS CZ</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lena</td>
<td>Zetterström Evins</td>
<td>SKB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>