



Looking for rational environmentally friendly approach for development of concept for safe

nuclear waste repository in preparing for future projects

Prof. Dr. Ivan Ivanov,

Manager of projects at R&DS of TUS

Head of Safety and Environmental & Engineering Laboratory,

Electrical Power Department

Technical University of Sofia

ivec@tu-sofia.bg

< 1-15 >







- 1) Background of the considered approach and some activities for SF&RAW research and management in Bulgaria
- 2) General overview of the safety requirements of the IAEA, European and national legislation and regulations important for successful SF&RAW management
- 3) Main steps of the rational approach in behalf of joint preparing for future projects and joint activities within IGD-TP
- 4) Main expertise and priorities of interest of TUS in participation as partner in future projects



 Background of the considered approach and some activities for SF&RAW research and management in Bulgaria



Starting point: When we consider and and talk about NPPs, as a necessary presence in our lives, regardless of their great environmental advantage of "zero" CO2 emissions, we even more have to think about the residuum of the nuclear fuel cycle.

Bulgarian (and not only) reality: Overhaul of the opportunities for further transports of SF&RAW for processing in Russia and searching of decisions for construction of repository in the country.

TUS expertise for EIA: Prof. Ivan Ivanov is a team leader for the EIA of Kozloduy NPP (2000) and for the EIA of Investment Proposal for Construction of new Belene NPP (2004) in Bulgaria, and many other EIAs in energy and industrial sectors.

Review and update of the documents of the Bulgarian policy of SF&RAW management, and input of appropriate practices for:

- i. Limitation SF management to be carried out only by entities with a license for a nuclear facility;
- ii. Ban on RAW import to the country;
- iii. Acceptance of the deep geological repository as a most suitable option for durable guaranteed safety in the isolation of highly active and long-life RAW.

< 3-15 >



1) Background of the considered approach and some activities for SF&RAW management in Bulgaria cont'd



- The management of RAW in Bulgaria outside the place of their generation is carried out by the State Enterprise Radioactive Waste (SE RAW), which is established pursuant to art. 78 (1) of the Safe Use of Nuclear Energy Act.
- In 2008-2012 Units 1-4 of Kozloduy NPP (under a Council of Ministers' Decree) have been announced as RAW management facilities and have been transferred to SE RAW.
- In 2013 the Bulgarian Nuclear Regulatory Agency (BNRA) issued licenses to SE RAW for decommissioning those units of Kozloduy NPP.



2) General overview of the safety requirements of the IAEA, European and national legislation and regulations important for successful SF&RAW management



SF & RAW Safety			Spent Fuel	Radioactive Waste
IAEA and EU safety requirements	•	General overview of IAEA safety standards Directive 2003/122/Euratom	 Joint Convention' 1998 on the Safety of Spent Fuel Management and on the Safety of RAW Management 	
National Safety Requirements	•	Bulgarian Safe Use of Nuclear Energy Act' 2002 Regulation on ensuring the safety of NPP' 2004	 ❖ Strategy for management of spent nuclear fuel and radioactive waste'2011 – until 2030 	❖ Regulation for safe management of the radioactive waste' 2004



3) Main 5 steps of the rational approach in behalf of joint preparing for future projects and joint activities within IGD-TP



1st step: Overview of European and national legislation and IAEA regulations and requirements, definition of criteria and indicators, and selection of most suitable site for environmentally sound and safe building of a SF&RAW repository





2nd step: Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) of the Investment proposal for building of a SF&RAW repository

The purpose of the EIA study and report is defined as follow:

to study and analysis of possible reasons, sources and levels of impact and determination of potential risk for the environment and human health during construction, normal operation and possible design basis and beyond design basis accidents at the repository for the selected site, and

to define recommendations and measures to decrease the impact to the all components of the environment and to the population





2nd step: Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) of the Investment proposal for building of a SF&RAW repository cont'd

The rationale for EIA is based on European and National Regulations of various countries and the standards and requirements of the IAEA, as:

- EIA Convention in Transboundary Context (Ratified in Bulgaria by law – SG, 1995)
- Safe Use of Nuclear Energy Act, 2002
- Environment Protection Act, 2002
- Ordinance on the Conditions and Procedure for Performance of EIA of Investment Proposals for Construction, Activities and Technologies, 2003, etc.



2nd **step:** Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) of the Investment proposal for building of a SF&RAW repository cont'd



SE&EI

EIA report's structure and content are:

- Part 1. Annotation of the Investment Proposal for SF&RAW repository building.
- Part 2. Alternatives for execution of the Investment Proposal.
- Part 3. Description and analysis of the environment components and factors, material and cultural heritage, and their interaction.
- Part 4. Analysis and assessment of hypothetical impact on the population and the environment during construction, normal operation of SF&RAW repository and emergencies, incl. extreme external events (natural and man-made hazards).
- Part 5. Information on the methods used to prognosticate and assess the impact on the environment.
- Part 6. Description of the measures intended to prevent, decrease or stop hazardous impact on the environment, as well as a plan for execution of these measures.
- Part 7. Standpoints and opinions of the public, competent bodies on EIA, and concerned countries in transboundary context resulting from the consultations held.

Conclusions and Appendixes





3rd step: Emergency zoning and planning

From the EIA Report' purposes:

- ► Study and analysis of possible reasons, sources and levels of impact and determination of potential risk for the environment and human health during construction, normal operation and possible accidents at the SF&RAW repository (incl. by extreme external events), and
- Definition of recommendations and measures to decrease the impact

follows

the necessity to be determined the risk/emergency zonnes and thence to be implemented the emergency planning.





4th step: Application of SWOT analysis as technique of the strategic management for identification of the influences of the SF&RAW repository in all above indicated cases (incl. by extreme external events), but not ordinary and Extended SWOT analysis

Extended SWOT analysis		Internal environment		
		Pluses (S)	Minuses (W)	
Ex -environment	Opportunities (O)	S-O-strategies: Opportunities for pluses realizations	W-O- strategies: Weaknesses destruction for creating of new opportunities	
	Dangers (T)	S-7- strategies: Using of pluses for weaknesses elimination	W-T- strategies: Creating of strategies whish not allow activation of the weaknesses from the dangers	





5th step: Application of ALARA Principle
(As Low As Reasonably Achievable)

for operational safety of the SF&RAW repository and protection of the employees, public and environment from hazards and harmful effects.





For implementation of the above 5 steps

Technical University of Sofia Safety and Environmental & Engineering
Laboratory

can provide research and technical support and consulting services with researchers and senior experts

to the developers and investors, and to management body of SF&RAW repository.



4) Main expertise and priorities of interest of TUS in participation as partner in future projects



- Identification of safety margins and development of environmentally sound safety cases of RAW' geo-disposal
- ii. Safety case uncertainty assessment of all phases of repository evolution for models, parameters and scenarios and their couplings
- iii. Identification of environmental and safety indicators and definition of range of solutions for public and decision makers
- iv. Resilience of RAW repositories to extreme (natural and manmade) events
- v. 3S (safety, security and safeguards) systems' development for long time-scale application in the RAW geo-disposal
- vi. Real-time radiological assessment and communication of safety and environmental aspects to the public
- vii. Cross cutting scientific issues concerning the safety and environmental requirements for disposal concept improvement

(I suppose the considered six draft proposals for EURATOM WP 2016-2017 call THERAMIN, BEACON, DISCO, CHANCE, SAEXFUEL and TraCK, are already submitted, but the above information for TUS could be useful for next call)





Dear coordinator of a future project, for high level Bulgarian partner you can rely on TUS

