

Application and adaptation of mature geological disposal concepts to less advanced programmes

SÚRAO – Radioactive Waste Repository Authority Jiří Slovák

Managing Director

IGD-TP 7th Exchange Forum, October 26th, 2016, Cordoba, Spain





- 1. A case study of country with less advanced programme CZ DGR programme development
- 2. TRACK project proposal how to support countries with less advanced programmes to build own R&D capability and implement DGR Development programme



The CZ DGR Development Case Study

Legislative Principles and Responsibility in RW Management Atomic act – 18/1997 Coll.

The main principles:

- The state guarantees the safe disposal of all radioactive waste.
- Producers of radioactive waste are required to bear all the costs associated with its management from the time of origin to its disposal.
- In order to provide for activities associated with radioactive waste disposal, the Ministry of Industry and Trade set up the Radioactive Waste Repository Authority (RAWRA) as a state organization - established on 1st June 1997
- Nuclear facility operator is responsible for decommissioning and the processing of RAW prior to its final disposal
- Nuclear account Ministry of Finance, Czech National Bank
- The import of radioactive waste to the Czech Republic is forbidden





SPRÁVA ÚLOŽIŠŤ RADIOAKTIVNÍCH ODPADŮ

National Strategic Documents and latest Government Decisions







•

2002 – Government approved the CR RAWM concept

12/2014 – Government takes into account the Update of the RAWM Concept (Policy)

 final approval - SEA – public hearings expected in the first half of 2016

5 and 9/2015 – Minister of the Env. approved licences for the 1st stage of geo. surveys for a DGR at 7 sites

5/2015 – Government approval of the Update of the National Energy Strategy

- 4 new NPP units (Dukovany 2 + Temelin 2)
- 2025 start of new NPP construction
- Commissioning between 2033 2037

Two decades of DGR development in the Czech Republic



First decade 1992 – 2002	What happened, what has been done
 1992 - 1997 UJV Řež CZ Geological Survey Institutions of Academy of Science Universities (CTU, TU Liberec, MU Brno, Mining University Ostrava) ČEZ MIT, MEnvi 	 Project management Project development strategy Screening of the country Geology – potential host rock studies → CRYSTALINE or CLAYSTONE First generic DGR design / disposal concept First design of Disposal container Generic studies on disposal concept used CZ materials and technologies Cost analyses and predictions of the DGR development
1998 – 2002 • SÚRAO • ÚJV Řež	 First Reference Design (1999) apply KBS 3 disposal concept, use CZ design of Disposal concept Revision of all screening geo analyses 12 disposal areas Ruprechtov NA Study - started Decision on only 6 areas – in Crystalline (granites) for exploration

Two decades of DGR development in the Czech Republic



Second decade 2003 – 2014 (1)	What happened, what has been done
2003 – 2005	 Starting GeoBariera project – 6 sites, only airborne geological survey From the beginning - strong local public opposition on sites Melechov test site – testing geological survey methods
2005	 First government decision to the DGR prgm = Gov. reaction – to stop all geological work for "… to find local public acceptance…"
2008	 Second Gov. decision - focus on military (and others) areas Military area Boletice site as back site Geological research and FS on Kravi hora site Far field and near field studies
2010	 New additional site (7) – Kraví hora – near U-mining New strategy for selection of 4 sites for firsts stage og geo survey based on VOLUNTARY participation of local municipalities (not agree!!) Establishing of Working Group for Dialogue about DGR in the CzR

Two decades of DGR development in the Czech Republic



Second decade 2003 – 2013 (2)	What happened, what has been done
2008- 2011	 New Reference design 2011 – close Cooperation with SKB (SKB Int.) Involving in international RDD projects – Decovalex 2011, EC projects, Systematic support of CZ organisation in EC projects SÚRAO as a member of IGD-TP – a member of EG
2012	 New strategy (2010) showed up be unworkable option responsibility is not possible to give to municipalities – responsibility is role of the state
2013	 Third Government influence - Modification of the site selection strategy (7) → (4) → (2) → (1) 3 stages of the investigation, first stage to narrow down number of sites as well as affected municipalities by only surface geological survey Application for GEO survey for all 7 sites for first stage of Geo survey
2014	 Starting geo survey – investigation at all 7 sites using only limited survey methods DOPAS project and SÚRAO and our CZ partners participation in the project



Lesson learn from two decades of DGR^{SÚRAO} Development

First decade (1992 – 2002)

- Generic studies, generic research
 - Analyses: what, how and where

Second decade (2003 - 2014)

- Used to perform a data
- Used to decide against strong local opposition
- Building own capabilities, building a responsible and capable team
- Building a trust of local public
- Strong political (government) influence into decision making
- Lost of trust
- Building an international collaboration and cooperation

Where are we today?



PRÁVA ÚLOŽIŠŤ ADIOAKTIVNÍCH IDPADŮ

- DGR development and site selection strategy already exist
 - $7 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 1+1$
 - Plans for RD&D
 - Established research in CZ underground facilities Josef Gallery, URF Bukov
- Capable team with more than 30 organisation
- Strong international cooperation
 - A member of IGD-TP EG
 - Bilateral cooperation with Nagra, ANDRA, Sogin
 - Direct participation in many EC projects
 - Direct participation in many bilateral and multilateral international projects (Decovalex 2019, ISCO, EBS Task Force, ...
- International Advisory team leading by Posiva, in cooperation with SKB to support building a trust
- Clear political task to select site for DGR till 2025 –with public acceptance





The role of URL research in the DGR development programme



SÚRAO RADIOACTIVE WASTE REPOSITORY AUTHORITY

Content - section



- 1. A case study of country with less advanced programme CZ DGR programme development
- 2. TRACK project proposal how to support countries with less advanced programmes to build own R&D capability and implement DGR Development programme





Name of the Project proposal: Tracking and sharing radioactive waste management knowledge TRACK

Basic information



- H2020, TOPIC : Pan-European knowledge sharing and development of competence in radioactive waste management
- Goal: to further develop scientific, technical and managerial knowledge and competences in RWM, encompassing the whole range of waste types and forms and origins
- The focus should be on the development and transfer of knowledge and competence building rather than the actual elaboration and harmonisation of national strategies and programmes



Project objectives



RADIOACTIVE WASTE REPOSITORY AUTHORITY

- To assist countries with less advanced programmes (LAP's) in retrieving existing knowledge regarding RWM in general and geological disposal in particular.
- To enhance the development of scientific, technical and managerial knowledge and competences in RWM at pan-European level
- The project is seen as an action bridging the transition period regarding the activities on knowledge management that will be proposed as a part of the future European Joint Programming in RWM







SURAO (Coordinator), CV Rez, Czech Republic **ANDRA** (WP Leader), France JRC-ITU (WP Leader), EU **PURAM** (WP Leader), **REC**, **ENERCON**, Hungary ARAO ,Slovenia **COVRA**, The Netherlands **RATEN**, Romania **SOGIN**, Italy Expert support anticipated from advanced programmes









- WP 1 Management and coordination (SURAO)
- WP 2 RD&D capacity building (ANDRA)
- WP 3 Identification of RD&D and strategic/management needs of LAPs (ANDRA)
- WP 4 Methodology for knowledge transfer (PURAM)
- WP 5 State-of-Knowledge documents (JRC)
- WP 6 Dissemination and sharing information (SURAO)



WP2 RD&D capacity building

🗖 SÚRAO

RADIOACTIVE WASTE REPOSITORY AUTHORITY

Goal: Specification of prerequisites for creating national repository development programmes

Output: A guide document describing a common understanding and vision of different stages in a GDF project and listing prerequisites for performing necessary RD&D associated with each project stage



WP3 Identification of R&D, strategic, and management needs of LAP





Goal: Compiling the RD&D and strategic/management needs in the different stages of a GDF development programme

Output: A guide document providing an overview of R&D activities to be performed in different stages of repository development



WP4 Methodology for knowledge transfer



Goal: Proposal of methodology, approaches and mechanism for transfer of knowledge and skills between MAP and LAP

Output: A guide document on knowledge transfer opportunities that may better serve the RD&D needs of LAP countries



WP5 State-of knowledge documents



RADIOACTIVE WASTE REPOSITORY AUTHORITY

- **Goal:** Enhancing access to and understanding of usefulness of results of completed EURATOM projects
- Output: Managing the IKMS WEB space and publishing State-of-Knowledge documents on:
 - Links between pre-disposal and disposal
 - Site characterization methods: Status and prospects for RD&D
 - Safety Case communication and uncertainties, incl. views and preferences of different stakeholders





RADIOACTIVE WASTE REPOSITORY AUTHORITY

Goal: Dissemination of project outcomes

Outputs:

- Distributed guidance documents (WP2-4) and stateof-knowledge documents (WP5),
- Final workshop for end-users of the project results
- A module for JRC Summer School on Decommissioning and Waste Management.





Summary

- 2 year long project (anticipated start mid 2017)
- EC grant 1,4 M €
- Project outcomes include:
 - ✓ 3 guidance documents
 - ✓ 3 state-of-knowledge documents
 - Final workshop for end-users of the project results
 - ✓ A module for JRC Summer School on Decommissioning and Waste Management.



SÚRAO



Thank you for your attention slovak@surao.cz