

Interfaces WG (IWG)

EF2 WG 4 – Helsinki 29/11/2011

Aparicio, Bergmans, Ikonen,
Lalieux (chair), Martell, Plecas,
Rothfuchs, Wikberg

**WG1, IGD-TP Exchange
Forum 2, 29.11.2011 Helsinki**



Vision

- Propose an organisational framework for interfacing with / better involving various types of stakeholders
 - In particular, how to involve groups who do not wish to endorse the vision?
- Propose a way to interact with regulators (and TSO's)
- Explore ways forward to
 - Incorporate the concerns from different stakeholders
 - Stimulate review of IGD-TP “products” (SRA, DP, projects...) by (non-technical) stakeholders?
 - Stimulate the presence and interaction of stakeholders during the EF?



Questions raised

- Why so few « outsiders » interested?
 - 2-3 in EF1, 0 in EF2
- Even for the ones who are aware of the TP
 - Missing their presence and their contribution
 - → check the rationale (InSOTEC) and way to get them back
 - No return on investment?
- Anything “societal” in the TP?
 - Monitoring, R&R,...
 - Yes so what?



Shared interest?

- Groups potentially interested into TP
 - Peers (already included but must be broadened to all relevant disciplines) => room for improvements
 - Regulators
 - Media => provide background information to “EU level association” (visit to URLs)
 - Decision makers + civil society, locals : but structure not apt to embark them
 - Intl. org: observers
- Groups TP is interested in
 - Peers (WMO’s, consultants, academics working for DP)
 - Regulators, TSO’s, authorities
 - Public opinion via media
 - Academic world
 - Decision makers (local to EU) => influence on RD&D (environmental issues) + building confidence
 - Environmental associations and civil society groups
 - Future neighbors to GDF
 - International organisations: avoiding overlap



Regulators

- Added value for them
 - “Faced with reality”
 - Neutral arena for discussion
 - Commonalities and differences in national approaches
 - Overview of ongoing RD&D
 - → we need to establish a link



Decision Makers + civil society + ...

- Input to the RD&D to answer their concerns
- Networking possibilities
- Exchange of information (esp. regarding the commonalities – not alone in tackling an issue)



Conditions for moving forward

- Respecting independency of all actors (not forcing them to take a position) as a prerequisite
- Work around specific issues to embark outsiders (monitoring, ...) instead of embarking them in the TP as a whole
- Be proactive in contacting them



To do's

- Analyse why outsiders are not interested
- Be sure that the TP is ready to interact with outsiders
- Specific action towards regulators (not included in the surveys)
- → allow enough freedom to Insotec in its work



Interested in IWG?

- ANDRA, COVRA, ONDRAF
- INSOTEC as official support to the IWG (with all the necessary information flux)
- SKB (tbc)
- Some outsiders invited (to test to feasibility of the proposed ways forward)

