Vision

- Propose an organisational framework for interfacing with / better involving various types of stakeholders
  - In particular, how to involve groups who do not wish to endorse the vision?
- Propose a way to interact with regulators (and TSO’s)
- Explore ways forward to
  - Incorporate the concerns from different stakeholders
  - Stimulate review of IGD-TP “products” (SRA, DP, projects…) by (non-technical) stakeholders?
  - Stimulate the presence and interaction of stakeholders during the EF?
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Questions raised

• Why so few « outsiders » interested?
  – 2-3 in EF1, 0 in EF2

• Even for the ones who are aware of the TP
  – Missing their presence and their contribution
  – ➔ check the rationale (InSOTEC) and way to get them back
    • No return on investment?

• Anything “societal” in the TP?
  – Monitoring, R&R,…
  – Yes so what?
Shared interest?

- Groups potentially interested into TP
  - Peers (already included but must be broadened to all relevant disciplines) => room for improvements
  - Regulators
  - Media => provide background information to “EU level association” (visit to URLs)
  - Decision makers + civil society, locals : but structure not apt to embark them
  - Intl. org: observers

- Groups TP is interested in
  - Peers (WMO’s, consultants, academics working for DP)
  - Regulators, TSO’s, authorities
  - Public opinion via media
  - Academic world
  - Decision makers (local to EU) => influence on RD&D (environmental issues) + building confidence
  - Environmental associations and civil society groups
  - Future neighbors to GDF
  - International organisations: avoiding overlap
Regulators

• Added value for them
  – “Faced with reality”
  – Neutral arena for discussion
  – Commonalities and differences in national approaches
  – Overview of ongoing RD&D

  ➔ we need to establish a link
Decision Makers + civil society + …

- Input to the RD&D to answer their concerns
- Networking possibilities
- Exchange of information (esp. regarding the commonalities – not alone in tackling an issue)
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Conditions for moving forward

• Respecting independency of all actors (not forcing them to take a position) as a prerequisite
• Work around specific issues to embark outsiders (monitoring, …) instead of embarking them in the TP as a whole
• Be proactive in contacting them
To do’s

• Analyse why outsiders are not interested
• Be sure that the TP is ready to interact with outsiders
• Specific action towards regulators (not included in the surveys)
• ➔ allow enough freedom to Insotec in its work
Interested in IWG?

- ANDRA, COVRA, ONDRAF
- INSOTEC as official support to the IWG (with all the necessary information flux)
- SKB (tbc)
- Some outsiders invited (to test to feasibility of the proposed ways forward)