

A close-up photograph of two hands, one from a person in a blue sweater and one from a person in a white sweater, both wearing metal handcuffs. The hands are clasped together. The background is a blurred yellow field.

IGD-TP

SRA

Exchange Forum 8 February 2011

Ir. Jan Haverkamp

Greenpeace

EU policy campaigner dirty energy

jan.haverkamp@greenpeace.org

GREENPEACE

www.greenpeace.org

TRANSPARENCY



p. 32: “Full-scale production of buffer blocks of the required density has been demonstrated, along with their emplacement in boreholes.”

- sourcing
- use of publicly available and peer-reviewed sources

CLOSED FOCUS OF THE WASTE MANAGEMENT COMMUNITY



P 7: “Each WMO focuses on carrying out RD&D that helps to deliver the input/answers/state-of-the-art needed for the next program stage, based on the available information and knowledge within the geological disposal community.”

OPEN ENDEDNESS



p. 51: “It is essential that effective approaches to communication and dialogue are established to gain and maintain stakeholder support, confidence and trust in the concept of safe geological disposal of radioactive waste.”

TRANSPARENCY PUBLIC PARTICIPATION ACCESS TO JUSTICE



Aarhus Convention
Espoo Convention
Kiev Protocol
implementing EU Directives

consequences for
programmes

GREENPEACE

www.greenpeace.org

CONSENSUS



p. 10: “At the international level, there is a consensus that the maximum level of passive safety can be obtained through geological disposal./1-19/.”

CONTENT



- retrievability and reversibility - should be standard for all RD&D
- consequences of political site choice (FI, SE)
- human interference
- moving from ALARA to BAT and BRP
- needed: input from economists

CLOSED FUNCTIONAL STRUCTURE OF IGD-TP



p 11: “The SRA working group consisting of representatives from all of the IGD-TP member organisations started the work...”

p 21: “on the basis of the dialogue with IGD-TP participants and stakeholders who have endorsed the Vision 2025.”

GREENPEACE in or out?